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Abstract

This paper is a review of the actual gathering and use of wild edible plants in the 18th and 19th centuries, with a brief concluding discussion on the present day use of wild plants as food within Sweden. The peasants and the nomads in pre-industrial Sweden utilised very few wild plant taxa as food. Many even despised the wild fruits and green plants. Some plants and fruits were earlier mostly eaten fresh on the spot, or gathered for consumption in bread, gruel or soup. Other fruits were dried or preserved in other ways. In times of food shortages the amount of wild plants increased in the diet, but still the peasantry and nomads were often able to use fish and game to provide enough nutrients.

With access to cheap sugar in the early 20th century wild fruits (Vaccinium myrtillus L., V. vitis-idaea L., and Rubus chamaemorus L.) increased in importance, especially among urban-dwellers and within food industry. In the last few decades fungi have also become part of the urban diet. Fifty years ago working class people gathered only Cantharellus cibarius (Fr.) and occasionally Boletus edulis Bull. Nowadays more taxa are utilised within the Swedish households, and especially the easy to pick Cantharellus tubaeformis (Pers.) has become very popular recently. Harvesting fruits and mushrooms in the forests is a popular pastime for many urban people, but also a source of income for immigrants and especially foreign seasonal labour. The only traditional green wild food plant that is regularly eaten in contemporary Sweden is Urtica dioica L.
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Introduction

Although several studies provide information on the historical and contemporary exploitation of wild plants and non-timber products in Sweden and neighbouring Nordic countries, very few ethnobiological analyses of the use of wild food plants exist. The ethnobotanical encyclopaedias that have been published in Denmark, Norway and Sweden of course provide lots of descriptive data on plant use, including edible plants [1–3]. An ethnobotanical study about the Faroe Islands reviews most available historical data on plant use, including traditional food plants [4]. Scattered information is also at hand from Finland and Iceland [5,6]. The impact of the propaganda efforts in Sweden to promote the utilisation of wild plants, lichens and mushrooms has been analysed in a couple of reviews [9,10]. Scattered information is also at hand from Finland and Iceland [5,6]. The impact of the propaganda efforts in Sweden to promote the utilisation of wild plants, lichens and mushrooms has been analysed in a couple of reviews [9,10]. Scattered information is also at hand from Finland and Iceland [5,6]. The impact of the propaganda efforts in Sweden to promote the utilisation of wild plants, lichens and mushrooms has been analysed in a couple of reviews [9,10]. Scattered information is also at hand from Finland and Iceland [5,6]. The impact of the propaganda efforts in Sweden to promote the utilisation of wild plants, lichens and mushrooms has been analysed in a couple of reviews [9,10].

Cultural diversity in Sweden (and northern Scandinavia) includes the Saami minority in the north, scattered groups of Roma and other Travellers, Finnish-speakers in the centre of Sweden and neighbouring parts of Norway who are nowadays completely assimilated, as well as Finnish-speakers in the province Norrbotten (including Meänkieli-speakers). Analyses of the use of food plants among the Saami exist, including the pioneering study by ethnologist Phebe Fjellström on the use of Angelica archangelica L. [11–15]. The folk botany among the various Finnish-speakers in the Scandinavian Peninsula is very little known, although rich data exists in the archives. For the Roma there is a small study on food among the Kalderasha subgroup which also includes data on wild plants [16].

The interest in researching folk botany and use of plants in their cultural historical and social contexts has increased the last 15 to 20 years. Much data has been published recently in Sweden, almost all of them in Swedish. Especially the many ethnobiological monographs, written by the present author, have analysed empirical data given in historical sources, dialect wordlists, older travelogues and handwritten records in the folk-life archives, and discussed in detail the various uses and beliefs on plant taxa in pre-industrial Sweden (e.g. [17–19]). Very few monographic articles are available in English though [11,20–23], apart from a relatively superficial review from 1951 by the ethnologist Sigurd Erixon [24].

This review of Swedish plants traditionally used as food and snacks in earlier times will fill a gap in the international ethnobiological literature. The concept of famine food and the impact of propaganda efforts will be discussed. A brief account on the contemporary use of wild plants in food culture concludes the presentation.
Material and methods

Sweden – the cultural and ecological setting

Contemporary Sweden covers an area of around 450300 km$^2$ and it forms the eastern part of the Scandinavian Peninsula. It includes also the islands of Gotland and Öland in the Baltic Sea. The climate is temperate. Nearly 60 per cent of the country is covered with forests and 15 per cent is located north of the Arctic Circle. Natural vegetation varies considerably due to the various climate zones and ecological settings with mountains, forest regions, coastal areas and the agricultural landscape. Eight vegetation zones can be distinguished in Sweden and the boreal zone and its sub-zones cover the most of the country.

In the 18th century Sweden was still poor, and despite considerable efforts being made, the provision of sufficient foodstuffs for the population was far from having been reliably secured. In some parts of the country the peasantry experienced frequent crop failures, and food crises and famine lurked constantly around the corner. Agriculture remained primitive and wild plants were essential for the subsistence economy, although not for human nutrition. During the 19th century land reforms, ditching projects, modernisation of agriculture and cattle breeding, better communications through steamboats and railways, and better health care that resulted in the epidemiological transition improved the situation for the population. Sweden became industrialised rather late, and in the 1880s a large part of the population was still rural and poor. Almost 1.2 million people migrated to North America between 1851 and 1930 [25].

Although still considered to be rather linguistically homogeneous, Sweden also has a cultural diversity of many groups speaking various minority languages. Traditional minorities include the indigenous Sami, divided in various dialects and groups which have a background in full or semi-nomadic life styles. Due to the long historical unification with Finland (until 1809) various Finnish-speaking groups still exist within the contemporary borders. In the year 1700 the population was only 1.4 million, and in 1900 it had reached 5.1 million. The country has remained sparsely populated, especially in the north. Contemporary Sweden has a population of almost 9.5 million inhabitants (2012) including a lot of immigrant groups that have arrived since World War II as refugees and labour. They are estimated at around 15 per cent (1.3 million foreign born) of the population and originate from most countries of the world [26].

Export of timber products and iron ore has always been an important part of the economy, while the agricultural sector has fallen to only 2 per cent in recent years. Most people are urban, while still in the 1870s around 70 per cent lived in the countryside [25].

Sources used for this review

Wild plants gathered for food is a fascinating primordial bio-cultural domain. An early evidence of plant gathering from Sweden is the Roman author Tacitus who described in his “De origine et situ Germanorum” (ca. 98 A.D.) how the people who are considered to be the ancestors of the Sami gathered a kind of grass that was consumed as food. This has been interpreted to mean Rrumex acetosa L., which has until very recently played an important role in Saami food culture. Although occasional data on plant use can be found in various older records of wild-plant harvesting from the 16th and 17th century, such as the reports on the ethnography of the Saami provided by clergymen in the 1670s, the real documentation of local plant use in Sweden began with Carl Linnaeus (1707–1778). His tour to Lapland and northern Scandinavia in the summer of 1732 is sometimes regarded as the starting point of ethnobotanical investigations in Sweden [27]. Thanks to his careful observations his travelogue provides us with the necessary data on where, when and from whom the data were collected, and he himself published “Flora lapponica” (1737) in Latin, where he reports also economic aspects of the plants described [28]. This book had an immense impact and became a model for botanists all over the world, but especially the data on the economic use of various plants had been passed on into many later books, thus creating a problem for later researcher to identify data originating in Linnaeus’s writings, and local data [29–31]. Linnaeus published further travelogues, and they are full of information on his observations of the use of plants for food, medicine, dyes and other technical purposes among the peasantry in mid-18th century Sweden (the ones to Lapland 1732, Dalecarlia 1734, and Öland and Gotland in 1742 are available in English translations). Some of Linnaeus’s prominent pupils also travelled in Sweden and gathered observations from the peasantry about useful plants. Among the most important pupils are Pehr Kalm (1716–1779), Johan Otto Hagström (1716–1792), and Anders Tidström (1723–1779). Without Linnaeus’s impact and importance as a role model for later scholars the amount of data on the folk botany in Sweden would have been very mediocre [32].

Some dialectologists, ethnographers and local historians provide interesting first-hand information gathered in the field in the 19th and early 20th century on the use of wild plants. Since plant knowledge has been rather high among educated Swedes due to the importance of botany in the secondary school curricula until early 1960s, the information given is usually reliable and often contains scientific names, besides local and normalised Swedish phytonyms [33].

Important collectors of folk botanical data with information on food plants are Georg Bergfors (1882–1975), Gustav Fridner (1891–1981), Guylillk Guylisskon (1894–1983), and Erik Modin (1862–1953) [33–37]. Ethnological and folklore archives also provide useful information. Such archives are to be found in Stockholm (the Nordic Museum), Gothenburg, Lund, Umeå, Uppsala and Östersund. For instance, in 1929 the FolkLife Archives (present Swedish Institute for Language and Folklore) in Uppsala distributed a questionnaire on famine food in Sweden, which contained interesting data on plants used as emergency food in the late 19th century [38]. Among unpublished data collections, Lisa Johansson (1894–1982) is especially interesting since her manuscript also includes voucher specimens of the plants used in the Vilhelmina parish in northern Sweden. Another important manuscript has been compiled from the Edsle parish in Ångermanland by Frans Bergvall (1903–1995) [39,40].

The heavy loss in traditional plant knowledge in Sweden does not make further fieldwork especially appealing. On the other hand, the archives are still full of data which has not been analysed. The use and importance of wild plants among recent immigrants in Sweden is however worth field studies, for instance among Anatolian Turks, Chinese and Thais.

The impact of propaganda efforts

Food propaganda in Sweden, published as pamphlets, cookbooks, instructions, educational efforts, laws and as
newspaper articles, is a common source for the contemporary use of many plants (fruits, mushrooms, etc.). Ever since the Age of Enlightenment, men (and a few women) of science have sought possible natural products worth exploiting on a large scale in order to improve the nutritional status of the population and of course the country’s economy. The efforts have had two main goals: to benefit the national economy (by using local products or substituting valuable resources with others, for instance bark of Pines sylvestris L. with lichens and mushrooms), and to improve the general health of the public. Luxurious imported products could be substituted with native wild plants. For instance, in 1746 the authorities published a list of 45 plant taxa, mostly native species that could be used as a substitute for tea. Imported tea was consumed by the upper classes in the early 18th century [41].

Carl Linnaeus particularly emphasised the use of wild plants as food resources and flour substitutes. Not content with just conducting inventories of folk knowledge of useful plants, he and his contemporaries compiled lists of plants (such as his “Ceres noverca arctorum” 1733, “Plantaec esculenta patriae” 1752, “De pane diatetico” 1757, “Macellum olitorium” 1760 and a memoir in Swedish in 1757), known to be used elsewhere [42,43]. Another man dedicated to investigating wild plants that could be used for food was the botanist and priest Petrus Holmberger (1745–1807) [32]. The question of using wild plants as substitutes was very much in vogue among learned men in the late 18th century. Many booklets, including several dissertations, on the issue were published from the 18th century until the early 20th century [7,42,44].

Ever since the 18th century the authorities have propagated in different ways for new food among the peasantry with the goal of improving agriculture and diet. This propaganda intensified in conjunction with famine years and during recessions. In the pre-industrial period very few new food items were accepted or only slowly became part of diet of the common people. The intensive, almost 150-year-long propaganda effort to introduce lichens [especially Cetraria islandica (L.) Ach.] failed completely, and mushrooms did not become generally accepted until the 1930s and 1940s. It was among the urban population that finally wild mushrooms as a food item were accepted. Many other food plants were discussed as well [7–9].

In the early 20th century, especially during the two World Wars and the period in between, many efforts were made to increase the interest for wild plants as food [45,46]. New media like radio probably had some impact. The increasing interest in fruits was due to the access to sugar, while modern recipes like radio probably had some impact. The increasing interest for using these resources. Also the availability for the urban people through bicycles and later cars has been of importance for the general use of wild fruits and mushrooms in the 20th century [47,49].

The impact of the propaganda was probably small before Sweden became industrialised and urbanised, although the population, including the peasantry, as in most Lutheran countries had, due to the 1686 church law, a high literacy rate (almost 100 per cent) already in the 18th century and read almanacs and newspapers. Clergymen also played an important role in instructing the common people in health care, agricultural improvements and gardening. However, when researching historical sources we must consider that the data can be influenced by these propaganda efforts rather than reflecting folk knowledge. Also the records in the folklore archives are sometimes influenced by these texts and careful source criticism is therefore necessary. For instance, local plant names seem to have been completely replaced by book-names since World War II. Ghost data must be dismissed and the impact of written data must be discussed in every ethnobotanical study using historical information. Contextual data on when, where and by whom a specific plant was used must be at hand. Icelandic lichen has for instance never been used as substitute food stuff in Sweden (or any European country outside Iceland), despite this often being said in many historical reviews [7,31,50].

Results

The traditional uses of more than 100 taxa as food, spices as well as for beverages have been recorded. They are presented (with a couple of exceptions) according to the categories given by Turner et al. [51]. Some further species were used as tobacco substitutes or for flavouring tobacco. Many edible plants were used only by poor people or in connection with poor harvests and famine years. There seems to be a difference in use between the 18th and 19th centuries. Notwithstanding a wide range of efforts, the provision of sufficient foodstuffs for the population was far from having been reliably secured in the 18th century Sweden. The peasants were poorer and relied much more on subsistence economy in that century. Various green plants, used in soup and stews by the peasantry, are mentioned from the 18th century. Food crises due to crop failures were still common. The last severe starvation took place in 1772–1773 with high mortality rates [44,52].

During the 19th century rural people usually despised fruits and they were only eaten locally and usually on the spot among people living in the forests or in the northern mountain areas, or by children. Fruits were not worth harvesting due to their low energy content. Only a few green plants are mentioned in the sources, mostly eaten by the rural poor. Some plants were eaten for magical purposes by the peasantry. For instance, to eat the first flowers of Hepatica nobilis Schreb. and Anemosa nemorosa L. in springtime was seen as bringing luck, protecting against snake bites or being prophylactic in general [53].

Social differences in using wild plants existed. In the 18th and early 19th centuries the upper classes, living in cities, castles and mansions, had activity fields and consumption patterns influenced by French and German culture. Therefore, there was a market for products that was not used among the peasantry. Linnaeus observed for instance young peasant women selling Gyromitra esculenta (Pers. ex. Pers.) Fr. in Småland in 1741. Still in the 19th century the peasantry gathered the species in
the same area for selling to the cities. Sugar was expensive and the peasantry could only occasionally make sweet cakes and desserts before industrialisation. However, at the mansions it was possible, and therefore there was a small market for wild fruits such as Pragaria vesca L., Prunus avium L., Rubus arcticus L. and Rubus plicatus Weihe & Nees [3].

In southern Sweden grain production was predominant among the peasants, and they were also more reluctant to use wild plants as food. In the north the peasantry and the Saami were more dependent on gathering activities and a combination of animal husbandry, hunting, fishing and to some extent gathering of plant products. Fruits were more used among these categories. The rural poor were of course more active in gathering activities than the farmers. In the 20th century city-dwellers were eager to accept new food items, including wild mushrooms. For the post-World War II era it also seems that the working class preferred wild fruits, while the middle class has been interested in mushrooms [50].

Root vegetables (roots, corms, tubers and rhizomes)

Underground parts (roots, rhizomes, bulbs) have been recorded for several taxa. In the northern parts of Sweden the rhizomes of Calla palustris L. were widely used for making bread in the 18th and early 19th centuries. Rhizomes of Menyanthes trifoliata L. and Nuphar lutea (L.) Sm. were occasionally used as famine food. As elsewhere in Europe the bittersweet rhizomes of Polypondium vulgare L. have been eaten, especially by children. They were also recommended for their medicinal qualities (purgative) and therefore gathered and sold to the pharmacies. Occasionally, children today still gather and eat these rhizomes. The Finns in the northern and central parts of Sweden used the rhizomes of Bistorta vivipara (L.) Gray ground into flour when baking unleavened bread in the 18th century. Rhizomes of Elytrigia repens (L.) Desv. ex Nevski have been used as famine food in some parts of Sweden [3,44].

The use of the edible nodules attached to rhizomes of Equisetum arvense L., known to be eaten in Norway, Iceland and the Faroe Islands, is only documented from Ångermanland and the Finnish-speaking areas of Värmland and was probably an old habit that survived among children [54]. Root tubers of Filipendula vulgaris Moench were readily eaten by free-roaming hogs, but in some parts of western Sweden poor people in the 19th century gathered them and used them to sweeten porridge [3].

Edible greens (leaves, stems, shoots)

Very few green vegetables harvested in the wild have been used by the peasantry in Sweden. The Saami utilised Angelica archangelica L., Rumex acetosa L., Cicerbita alpina (L.) Wallr., Oxyria digyna L. and occasionally also the leaves of Equisetum angustifolium L. and Alchemilla vulgaris L., mainly for mixing it with reindeer milk [11–13,17,55].

Also the Swedish settlers in northern Sweden used especially Cicerbita alpina for food. Spring shoots of Urtica dioica L. have been generally eaten in Sweden, known already in 18th century. It was very commonly eaten, according to Linnaeus. Still many people gather it for making soup. It is also available in some weekly markets. Rumex acetosa L., earlier widely gathered, was mainly used by children during the 20th century. However, it has recently become part of haute cuisine, although usually replaced by the cultivated Rumex rugosus Campd. Immigrants from Anatolia still gather wild R. acetosa L. for food. Strobils of Equisetum arvense L. were earlier eaten in some parts of Sweden [3,56].

Leaves, normally used as animal fodder, were, in times of food shortages, used as additives in bread. There is evidence of using leaves of Salix caprea L. and Tilia cordata L. Buds of Tilia cordata and Corylus avellana L. were also used in flour for bread according to several sources. Fresh shoots of Pinus sylvestris was sometimes also utilised in bread [56].

Many other plants were earlier gathered for stews and soup, and their importance increased in famine years, for instance Aegopodium podagraria L., Allium oleraceum L., Allium schoenoprasum L., Allium scorodoprasum L., Anchusa officinalis L., Anthricus sylvestris (L.) Hoffm., Aster tripolium L., Barbarea vulgaris R. Br. (widely cultivated in the 18th century), Circium palustre (L.) Scop., Cirsium helenioides Hill, Crambe maritima L., Galeopsis bifida (Boenn.) Fries, Campanula latifolia L., Hypochoeris maculata L., Lamium album L., Lamium purpureum L., Ranunculus ficaria L., Ribes uva-crispa L. (leaves) and Sinapis arvensis L. Most of these data were recorded in the 18th century. Some plants, usually considered as fodder, have been eaten in times of food crisis, such as Epilobium angustifolium L. among the settlers in the north [3,24,35–38,40,56].

The itinerant Kalderaša Roma gathered in the late 19th and early 20th century Chenopodium album and Taraxacum and used these plants in salads and soup [16]. The former taxon is also gathered by Greek immigrants in Sweden.

The only Pteridophyta eaten today, besides the occasional use of polypody rhizomes among children, are the young stems of ferns [probably Pteridium aquilinum (L) Kuhn] which are gathered by North and South Koreans living in Sweden.

Berries and other fleshy fruits

Fruits were not often eaten, although cowberries and cloudberries played a not unimportant role as food for the rural poor in the northern part of the country [47,56]. It was also possible to preserve them without sugar. Wild apple (Malus sylvestris Mill.) and wild pears (Pyrus communis L.) were used by the peasantry in Gotland and southern Sweden in the 18th century. The apples were used in soup, and sometimes regarded as food for poor people. Other fleshy fruits gathered were Sambucus nigra L., Prunus avium L., Prunus padus L., Crataegus sp., Ribes nigrum L., Ribes spicatum E. Robson, and Sorbus intermedia (Ehrh.) Pers. Fruits were dried and used as additives in pancakes or in bread, or eaten salted [3,28,34,56]. The traditional use of the sour fruits of sea-buckthorn, Hippophae rhamnoides L., was restricted to fishermen in the north part of the Bothnian Bay. They used them for sauce eaten together with fish [3,28].

Naturalised Ribes uva-crispa L. berries were harvested by young people and sold in the cities, but the peasants also produced jam of it as was observed in Värmland in the mid-19th century. Most people seem to have rejected the berries of Vaccinium uliginosum L., believing they were toxic, but in some areas in northern parts of Sweden they were actually eaten, not only by children but also adults. Juniper pseudo-fruits (Juniperus communis L.) were used by the peasantry for producing sweet syrup in western Sweden in the 18th and 19th century [3,56].

The Saami gathered berries of Empetrum hermaphroditum (Hagerup) as food. It has been rarely used among the peasantry in the northern part of the country [13,28]. Rubus chamaemorus L. and Vaccinium vitis-idaeus L. were
traditionally gathered and could be kept preserved especially in the north. The Finns in the central part of Sweden utilised large quantities of *V. vitis-idaea* berries in gruel, called “hillo” [3,56]. Fresh bilberries (*Vaccinium myrtillus*), in some areas completely despised as food, were sometimes elsewhere eaten with milk or cream, otherwise they were mostly eaten on the spot by children. The same is true for *Rubus idaeus*. With industrialisation and greater access to sugar some of these fruits became popular and are nowadays considered a must in Swedish food culture [3,36,56].

**Grains, seeds, and nuts**

Hazelnuts (*Corylus avellana*) were widely gathered in the southern and middle part of Sweden. Servants at manors and large farms even had free days in the summer in order to gather nuts for their own consumption (especially for Christmas). Hazelnuts were also sold on the market, and even exported in large quantities [47,49]. From Gotland and Öland we have reports from the 18th and 19th century respectively that poor people harvested large amounts of high quality nuts from the winter nests of field mice, *Apodemus flavicollis* (Melchior) [57]. Also beechnuts *Fagus sylvatica* L. were gathered and used in flour, especially during times of crop failure. They were used as additives in bread [3,56].

Seeds of *Chenopodium album* L. were widely gathered, dried, grated and mixed in flour for baking bread during crop-failures in northern Sweden [37,56]. However, grains of *Glyceria fluitans* (L.) R. Br. seem to have been gathered only very locally in Skillinge, Scania, in the mid-18th century. Linnaeus studied in Dalecarlia in 1734 that the locals used the grains of *Avena fatua* L. for flour [3,56].

**Bark, buds and other edible plants**

Traces of bark of *Pinus sylvestris* L. have been found in prehistoric breads from archaeological sites [58]. The innermost layer of *P. sylvestris* bark was commonly used to make bread among the peasantry in the northern Sweden still in the 18th century, but in the 19th century it was used mostly as flour substitute at times of famine. Bread mixed with bark flour was abandoned after the famine years 1867–1868. The energy content of bark flour is 82 kcal per 100 g. [56,59]. Due to the increasing economic importance of timber, the authorities were eager to replace the bark with other substances, such as lichens and mushrooms. The propaganda efforts increased in connection with crop failures. However, lichens and mushrooms were seen as cattle fodder and therefore generally rejected by the peasantry. The Saami have also been harvesting bark from pine trees. The inner bark was prepared by wrapping it in birch bark and put in the heat of a fire. The bark prepared in that way was sweet and eagerly eaten by the Saami. This kind of harvesting pine bark has been documented from late medieval times until the 19th century in Sweden [60].

The bark of *Fagus sylvatica* L. and *Ulmus glabra* L. were also used in southern Sweden as famine food in the early 19th century. In Småland the peasantry also used bark from *Tilia cordata*. Some sources also mention that the bark of *Betula* sp., and *Picea abies* (L.) H. Karst. was used for baking bread in times of famine [56].

**Spices, flavourings and preservatives**

Spices were grown in the villages and gardens of the manors for medicine or using for seasoning food. However, several plants were also gathered in the wild, such as *Artemisia vulgaris* L., *Carum carvi* L., *Mentha arvensis* L., *Origanum vulgare* L. and *Thymus serpyllum* L. Spruce resin was commonly eaten as a refreshing chewing gum during the long services in churches or when walking in the forests [3,61]. Also resin from *Prunus cerasus* L. was eaten by peasant boys in eastern Sweden in early 19th century. *Haploporus odorus* (Sommerf.) Bondartsev & Singer is a bracket mushroom found on goat willow trunks in the northern part of Sweden. The species was widely used in cabinets and chests for its pleasant scent. However, it was also used to flavour cheese and bread [3,36–38].

A special case in Swedish folk botany was the use of the leaves of *Pinguicula vulgaris* L. and *Drosera* sp. in order to curdle milk when making a kind of dairy product known as “thickened milk” “tätmjölk”. Whether the enzymes on the slimy plant leaves really contributed to the process is a matter of some debate, but most probably it was just an old folk belief. Locally, in the provinces of Värmland and Lapland, and adjacent areas of Norway, black slugs (*Arion ater*) L. were used to curdle the same kind of thick milk [62].

**Hard liquor (brännvin)** has traditionally been flavoured with plants, including wild species, such as *Achillea millefolium* L., *Carum carvi* L., *Gali um odoratum* L., *Myrica gallae L.*, *Gymnadina nigra* (L.) Reichb., *Peucedanum palustre* (L.) Monch., *Potentilla erecta* (L.) Räusch., *Primula veris* L., *Tanacetum vulgare* L., *Taraxacum sp.* as well as various fruits, such as *Fragaria vesca* L., *Prunus padus* L., *Rubus nigrum* L. and *Sorbus aucuparia* L. Still very popular is to gather the buds of *Hypericum perforatum* L. and to make a red light bitter with them [3,56].

When making beer the peasantry also used wild plants. Most common seems to have been *Myrica gallae*, but also *Achillea millefolium* L., *Filipendula ulmaria* (L.) Maxim., *Gentianella campestris* L. (Börner), *Hypercium perforatum* L., *Juniperus communis* L. (pseudo-fruits), *Primula veris* L., *M enyanthes trifoliata* L., *Rh inanthus serotinus* (Schönh.) Oborny, and *Rhododendron tomentosum* Harmaja are mentioned in the sources [3,36,63].

A special kind of spices is the fragrant plants used in pipe tobacco and snuff. Sometimes (especially for children and elderly people) the plants substituted the real tobacco. Additives and surrogates were *Achillea millefolium* L., *Carum carvi* L., *Gali um odoratum* L., *Sorbus aucuparia* L., *Ribes nigrum* L. and *Prunus cerasus* L. Snuff could be flavoured with scraps of the algae *Trentepohlia iolithus* (L.) Wallroth or with the fragrant grass *Anthoxan thum odoratum* L. The roots of *Angelica archangelica* L. and *Peucedanum palustre* (L.) Moench. were chewed by the Saa mi as substitutes for tobacco [3,13,20,34,36]. The plants used as tobacco substitutes have been reviewed in Norway and the Faroes. It could be a nice subject for a review covering a larger part of Europe [2,4].

**Beverages**

Birch (*Betula pendula* Roth., *B. pubescens* Ehrl.) sap has been gathered all over Sweden and was usually seen as a refreshing drink, but has also been used for gruel (made of birch sap and barley meal), in coffee and in some areas (e.g. Dalecarlia) made into ale by mixing with malt and yeast [64].

In the 18th and 19th century it was a widespread custom to make a beverage of the pseudo-fruits from *Juniperus communis* L. Also poor people could make this drink. Juniper pseudo-fruits were sometimes made into beer and also syrup. Making cordials, wine and liqueurs of wild fruits was practiced in the
upper classes already in the 18th century (and probably earlier as well). Also shoots of *Picea abies* (L.) H. Karst were brewed into a beverage [3,56,63].

Drinks made of berries of *Vaccinium vitis-idaea* L. were common among the peasantry in certain parts of Sweden (for instance the Finns in Central Sweden) already in the 18th and 19th century. It does not require any sugar and it was a way to save the berries for the winter. In some areas the peasants made a drink of *Vaccinium oxycoccus* L. berries [3,56].

Making liqueurs out of wild fruits (e.g. *Prunus spinosa* L.) seems to be a more recent habit and has been a kind of vogue. Others are commercially produced. On the other hand, seasoning hard liquor with wild fruits like *Sorbus aucuparia* L. or *Fragaria vesca* L. are old habits stemming from the upper classes. Recipes of wine made of for instance *Vaccinium myrtillus* exists, but has not become popular. There was a time in the 1970s when it was a kind of fashion to make wine of *Taraxacum* sp. flowers. It was actually illegal to make homemade wine of the flowers from 1978, but the law was changed in 1994. Nowadays very few people make dandelion wine [3].

Among the Saami a hot drink made of the bracket fungus *Piptoporus betulinus* (Bull. ex Fr.) P. Karst. seems to have been common before coffee was introduced in the 1860s. During World War II when there was a shortage of imported food stuff, there was a revival of using the birch bracket fungus for making a hot drink among the Saami. It is still made into a drink by Saami children in Norway. Herbal tea has been made using *Mitraria recutita* L. already in the 1830s (and it is still sometimes gathered in the fields for the same purpose). Also flowers of *Trifolium pratense* L. have been used to make herbal tea [3].

Coffee surrogates are known already in the early 19th century, although coffee was still restricted to the upper classes. It was not until the mid-19th century coffee became widely accepted [65]. Coffee substitutes are mentioned in cookbooks and recipe booklets from the late 19th century and World War I. However, ethnographical data also mentions dried rhizomes of *Taraxacum* sp. and seeds of *Iris pseudacoris* L. Coffee substitutes made of rye and/or chicory were available through the trade during the war-times [3].

**Children’s snacks**

Children have always eaten green plants as a kind of treat and change in a rather monotonous diet of cereals and dried fish or meat. Especially children tending cattle in the forests had to complement the small amount of food they were given by their masters with *Oxalis acetosella* L., *Rumex acetosa* L., *Angelica sylvestris* L., *Cicerbita alpina* (L.) Wallr. and green shoots from Norway spruce, *Picea abies* (L.) H. Karst. These were not just snacks, but real food keeping them healthy and helping them to get enough energy [3,66].

Children were and to some extent are still gathering plants as snacks (Tab. 1). Popular sour plants were *Oxalis acetosella* L. and *Rumex acetosa* L., locally also *Rumex acetosa* L. Also the immature pea-like fruits of *Vicia cracca* L. were popular. The immature fruits of *Capsella bursa-pastoris* (L.) Medik. have been eaten in southern Sweden. Sucking nectar from the flowers of *Primula veris* L., *Trifolium pratense* L. and various Lamiaceae species (*Lamium*, *Galeopsis*) were common. Seeds of *Bistorta vivipara* (L.) Gray were widely eaten by children. Capsules of the hairy cap moss, *Polytrichum commune* Hedw., were gathered and eaten by children during springtime, appreciated for their sweet taste. Eating *Chrysomyxa woroninii*...
the peasantry rejected these stuffs. They used food they were used to in other times as well, although they had to substitute flour with other plants. The inner bark of pine was still used during the food crisis in the 1860s. Straw, ears of grain and chaff were also popular, as were potatoes and root vegetables like swedes and turnips. Very few wild plants were actually used as emergency food (Tab. 2). If the peasants had been on the verge of starving to death, they most certainly would have eaten anything available (such as leather, rodents, dogs etc.) [67].

Comparison with other Scandinavian countries

Almost the same taxa as in Sweden were used in Norway. Some differences are due to the species available [2]. However, some plants, although common in Sweden, were not used. An interesting example is the West Nordic custom (Norway, Faroe Islands, Iceland and Shetland Islands) of eating the nutritious roots of Potentilla anserina L. which is not known from Sweden [68]. Although Sweden has a very long coastline, seaweed has not played any role as food or famine food in the same way as in Norway, Faroe Islands, Iceland and the British Isles. Seaweed has been used only as manure or for technical purposes [3]. It is only very recently that a small company in Grebbestad, Bohuslän, has started to make bread (crisp bread, baguette) of flour made of seaweed, Laminaria digitata (Hudson) J. W. Lamouroux.

New trends in the 20th century and onwards

Today especially wild fruits play an important role in Swedish food culture (Tab. 3). With increased access to cheap sugar in the early 20th century wild fruits (Vaccinium myrtillus, Vaccinium vitis-idaea, and Rubus chamaemorus) became very popular, especially among urban people. The fruits were used in the households for cordial, jam, gruel and desserts. V. vitis-idaea can be eaten with meat, fish, game, dumplings (“palt”), black pudding, porridge, ice-cream, cakes, biscuits, and desserts [69]. While writing this the present author had a cowberry-cheesecake with his coffee at his break. Waffles with whipped cream and cloudberry jam are an appreciated treat among ordinary people and are often sold at festivals, fairs and other events all over the country. The furniture and product company IKEA serves Swedish meatballs with cream sauce and cowberry jam, instant rose hip soup and elderflower cordial in their department store restaurants and food stalls all over the world. Bilberries make everything from traditional jam to modern grappa [70]. Still only a fraction of the about 1000 million litres of fruits and 3600 million litres of mushrooms that are produced in Swedish forests every year are actually harvested [71].

Mushrooms were despised by the peasantry. However, mushrooms became accepted as food first among the French-inspired aristocracy in the 18th century and by the urban bourgeoisie in the late 19th century. Later also urban industrial workers became interested in picking mushrooms as a free food resource in the forests, especially after World War I. Fifty

![Fig. 1](image-url) Famine in Sweden in 1867. The boy is chewing on a shoe while his father harvests bark from a pine tree (From “Fäderneslandet”, 1867).

### Tab. 2 Emergency bread additives reported in the 19th century [7,56].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Underground parts</td>
<td>Bistorti vivipara, Elttrigia repens, Calla palustris, Menyanthes trifoliata, Solanum tuberosum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bark flour</td>
<td>Pinus sylvestris, Picea abies, Tilia cordata, Ulmus glabra, Fagus sylvatica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeds</td>
<td>Bistorta vivipara, Chenopodium album</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buds, young shoots</td>
<td>Corylus avellana (buds, catkins), Picea abies (shoots), Pinus sylvestris (shoots)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flowers, leaves and grass</td>
<td>Salix caprea, Rumex acutus, Trifolium pratense, Tilia cordata, Epilobium angustifolium, Calluna vulgaris, Poaceae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruits</td>
<td>Fagus sylvatica, Vaccinium vitis-idaea, Sorbus intermedia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other substances</td>
<td>Chaff, straw, ears of grain, mash, horse manure, sawdust, soil, fish roe, bone meal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tab. 3 Wild fruits and mushrooms picked for local consumption in Sweden 1990, 2000, 2005 [80].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Products</th>
<th>Total quantity harvested/collected (kilogram)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilberry (Vaccinium myrtillus L.)</td>
<td>4754000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowberry (Vaccinium vitis-idaea L.)</td>
<td>5950000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raspberry (Rubus idaeus L.)</td>
<td>2250000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloudberry (Rubus chamaemorus L.)</td>
<td>1900000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mushrooms</td>
<td>8640000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 litre of fruits = 0.5 kg fruits; 1 litre mushrooms = 0.6 kg mushrooms.
years ago working class people gathered only *Cantharellus cibarius* (Fr.) and occasionally *Boletus edulis* Bull. Nowadays more species are utilised in Swedish households, and especially the easily picked *Cantharellus tubaeformis* (Pers.) Fr. has become very popular since the 1970s, but also *Cantharellus lutescens* Fr., *Craterellus cornucopioides* (L.) Pers., *Hydnum repandum* L., *Macrolepiota procera* (Scop.) Singer, *Suillus luteus* (L.) Gray and occasionally also *Lactarius deterrimus* Gröger, just to mention a few, find their way into the basket. Many elderly people still only gather and use *Cantharellus cibarius*, being uncertain about the edibility of other taxa. Whether it is safe to eat *Gyromitra esculenta* (Pers. ex Pers.) Fr. is disputed, but *Morchella elata* Fr. is gathered instead. Chinese immigrants, occasionally also others, pick *Marasmius oreades* (Bolton) Fr., in the urban lawns. Some cases of mushroom poisoning are reported every year [72]. A brochure available in many languages describing the 24 most common poisonous mushrooms in Sweden is published by Swedish Poison Information Centre (http://www.gic.se). Harvesting fruits and mushrooms in the forests is a popular pastime for many urban people (58 per cent of the population was picking fruits and mushrooms in 1997), but also a source of income for immigrants and especially foreign seasonal labour [47, 73] (Fig. 2).

The only traditional green wild food plant that is regularly eaten in contemporary Sweden is *Urtica dioica* L. Occasionally some people gather *Aegopodium podagraria* L., once naturalised but nowadays considered an invasive weed, for food. Some wild species have become part of modern regional food culture. *Allium scorodoprasum* L. was traditionally used in coastal areas as a spring vegetable, especially in stews. On the island of Gotland, it has been harvested for centuries, and used as a remedy against spring fatigue. Today *A. scorodoprasum* L. is an ingredient of a popular soup which is served as a local speciality all over Gotland [74]. Another recent example is jam made of *Rubus caesius* L. fruits, hardly eaten before but now a regional speciality also in Gotland. The jam is usually eaten with a local kind of pancake flavoured with saffron [75]. Products (jam, cordial) made from berries of *Vaccinium oxyccocos* L. and *Empetrum* sp. are also available. The real success, however, has been the fruits of *Hippophaë rhamnoides* L., which began to be very popular a couple of decades ago. Although most fruits are nowadays harvested from cultivated plants, some people actually gather them from wild plants along the Baltic coastline. Jam and juice of wild sea buckthorn fruits can actually be bought at Uppsala’s weekly market [76].

Many herbs were used as spices (and medicine) in the peasant society, but during industrialisation most of them were forgotten by the working class people. Nowadays herbs are coming back into the households and Swedes use a lot of culinary herbs, although most of them are cultivated in gardens or bought in stores. Wild spice plants are not gathered anymore. General trends sometimes increase the interest for wild plants, such as making tea of *Tilia cordata* L. or homemade cordials from the flowers of *Sambucus nigra* L., *Filipendula ulmaria* (L.) Maxim. or *Centaurea cyanus* (L.) Hill, and making elderberry “capers” of unripe fruits.

Many wild food plants are today known through the many handbooks available in bookshops. Newspapers also have articles on the subject each summer. It is hard to determine how many people actually try using these recipes. Some consider wild plants as healthier than cultivated plants; others appreciate them for being a free resource. *Alliaria petoliata* (M. Bieb.)
Cavara & Grande, *Capsella bursa-pastoris* (L.) Medik. and *Lamium album* L. are examples of plants mentioned in these modern recipes [77,78]. There are of course also specialists using and promoting wild plants as food, including individuals and small circles inspired by New Age ideologies or for other ideological and pseudo-scientific reasons (homeopathy). However, generally speaking their sources are not from the old folk-knowledge but from old and modern propaganda books (and nowadays also through the Internet).

**Conclusions**

Apart from aromatic fruits and some mushrooms, other wild plants are very little used today, despite propaganda efforts to create an interest in them. Sweden in the past must be regarded as a herbophobous society, according to the ethnobotanist Łuczaj's categorization [79]. Wild green plants have been and still are of very limited interest for most people. However, lettuce and other cultivated greens are easily available in the grocery stores throughout the year, and so are herbs. Cultivated fruits and vegetables are always available. Modern Sweden now eat a lot of vegetables in their daily meals. It is part of contemporary food culture.

Wild fruits and mushrooms have increased in importance in recent years and are very much used, not only in households but also in restaurants and food industry [80] (Tab. 3). Cowberry *Vaccinium vitis-idaea* L. jam can for instance be used with almost all kinds of dishes. The right of public access in the countryside, which entitles people to pick fruits, to gather mushrooms and to pursue various outdoor activities, is important for our understanding of the landscape and its products. However, it is also a source of income for many people, previously for people in the countryside in the 20th century, nowadays for immigrants (especially Thai women) and nowadays also through the Internet.

**Table 4 Food products of wild taxa available at the weekly market on Fyris torg, Uppsala, in 18 August 2012.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Cordial</th>
<th>Jam</th>
<th>Frozen leaves</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bilberry (<em>Vaccinium myrtillus</em> L.) fruits</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowberry (<em>Vaccinium vitis-idaea</em> L.) fruits</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild raspberry (<em>Rubus idaeus</em> L.) fruits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloudberry (<em>Rubus chamaemorus</em> L.) fruits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild strawberry (<em>Fragaria vesca</em> L.) fruits</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blackthorn (<em>Prunus spinosa</em> L.) fruits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elder (<em>Sambucus nigra</em> L.) flowers</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nettle (<em>Urtica dioica</em> L.) shoots/leaves</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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