POLLINATION OF POLISH RED LIST PLANTS: A PRELIMINARY STATISTICAL SURVEY
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Summary

One of the important problems of modern conservation biology is the lack of reliable data on plant pollination systems, especially for taxa threatened with extinction. This paper is an attempt to collect and analyze the available literature data on pollination of Polish red list plants. The Polish red list includes 469 angiosperm taxa, over 53% of them are insect-pollinated and visited mostly by bees and flies, insects that are also declining in Europe. These numbers however are mainly based on lists of flower visitors and detailed studies of pollination biology or breeding system are available for less than 20% of the taxa, with further 10% almost completely unstudied in terms of their life histories. The paper indicates that there is an urgent need to study plant-pollinator relationships in order to better conserve the biodiversity in local and global scales.

Key words: Threatened plants, red book, pollination crisis, biodiversity, plant-pollinator interactions, conservation

INTRODUCTION

We are increasingly aware that conserving biodiversity means not only conserving particular taxa but conserving the healthy functioning of all parts of world ecosystems, including various interactions among living organisms, e.g. pollination (Buchmann and Nabhan, 1996; Kearns et al. 1998; Thompson, 2002; Waser and Mayfield, 2006).

Although human understanding and interest in pollination of some plant species may be traced back to ancient times (Proctor et al. 1996; Cresti and Linskens, 1999), the knowledge of the actual mechanisms of pollination biology and ecology is a relatively recent achievement of human science (Waser and Mayfield, 2006). In spite of the explosive development of pollination studies in the last several decades (Fig. 1), there are few plant groups and species which have been thoroughly surveyed, with still a lot of anecdotic information on numerous plants that obscures rather than shows the actual ‘state of the art’. This is especially important in case of endangered taxa. If we consider that losing all pollinators may, at the worst, mean losing 90% of flowering plant species (Waser and Mayfield, 2006), the knowledge of plant breeding systems seems one of essential issues in all conservation endeavors involving flowering plants. Unfortunately, our lack of information on the biology and ecology of many plants as well as on the nature of relationships with animal pollinators may cause that even carefully design restoration projects are likely to fail if regeneration of endangered plant populations ceases. This for instance may be due to insect shortage as there is increasing evidence of the decline of pollinators (Buchmann and Nabhan, 1996; Allen-Wardell et al. 1998; Kearns et al. 1998; Biesmeijer et al. 2006; Vamosi et al. 2006).

Recently there have been several attempts to estimate world (e.g. Klein et al. 2007) and Polish crop plants’ (Zych and Jakubiec, 2006) dependence on animal pollinators but the evidence for endangered plant taxa is scarce. To our knowledge, there is no such statistics for the Polish flora, therefore, based on available literature, we attempted to analyze pollination systems of Polish red list plants with the emphasis on key pollinator agents.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The Polish flora is composed of approx. 2400 vascular plant species (Mirek et al. 2002). The recently published Red list of the vascular plants in Poland includes approx. 20% species of the Polish flora assigned to seven categories: extinct (Ex), extinct in the wild (EW), declining – critically endangered (E), vulnerable
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(V) and rare (R) \cite{zarzycki2006a} and Szeląg \cite{zarzycki2006b}; authors do not translate the categories according to IUCN standards). We extracted information on pollination of these plants from the available literature and from our own studies. We were especially interested in the nature of pollination systems of Polish red list plants (anemogamy, hydrogamy, zoogamy or autogamy; for simplicity, we treated autogamous and asexual, e.g. apomictic, taxa together and included in this category only obligate autogams, excluding facultatively autogamous plants), and key pollinators. As the length of this paper is limited, the list of the species with the references of the case studies for particular taxa is not included in the text and may be obtained from the authors upon individual request.

RESULTS

The Polish red list comprises 469 angiosperm taxa: 40 of them are extinct, 1 extinct in the wild, 140 endangered, 182 vulnerable and 106 rare and potentially endangered. The prevailing part of this group, over 53% (249 taxa), is insect-pollinated (entomogamous). From the remaining plants, 122 taxa are anemogamous (26%), seven are hydrogamous (1.5%), and 41 obligatory autogamous or asexual (9%). Three species exhibit mixed pollination systems: two of them (\textit{Helianthemum rupifragium} and \textit{Salix lapponum}) are ambophilous (wind-and insect-pollinated) and one (\textit{Hydrilla verticillata}, Hydrocharitaceae) is reported as hydro- and anemogamous. For over 10% of the Red list plants (47 taxa), the literature data on pollination is deficient or at least it was unavailable for the authors of the present study (Tab. 1).

The most important pollinating agents of the entomogamous taxa are hymenopterans (mainly wild bees and honey bee); they are responsible (at least partly) for pollinations of over 73% of these plants. Dipters constitute the second important group (visits to approx. 33% of the taxa). Butterflies and moths visit and pollinate approx. 11% of the Red list plants, beetles 3%, and less than 1% is visited and pollinated by other insects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Taxa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alliaceae</td>
<td>\textit{Allium angulosum}, \textit{Allium carinatum}, \textit{Allium scorodoprasum}, \textit{Allium strictum}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asteraceae</td>
<td>\textit{Achillea setacea}, \textit{Achillea stricta}, \textit{Erigeron hungaricus}, \textit{Erigeron macrophyllus}, \textit{Erigeron uniflorus}, \textit{Pulicaria vulgaris}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brassicaceae</td>
<td>\textit{Arabis recta}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liliaceae</td>
<td>\textit{Gagea minima}, \textit{Ornthogalum collinum}, \textit{Tofieldia calyculata}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linaceae</td>
<td>\textit{Radiola linoides}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orchidaceae</td>
<td>\textit{Dactylorhiza russowii}, \textit{Epipogium aphyllum}, \textit{Orchis palustris}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polygonaceae</td>
<td>\textit{Polygonum oxysepsum}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primulaceae</td>
<td>\textit{Anagallis foemina}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosaceae</td>
<td>\textit{Cotoneaster tomentosus}, \textit{Potentilla silesiaca}, \textit{Sorbus graeca}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrophulariaceae</td>
<td>\textit{Veronica bellidioides}, \textit{Veronica praecox}, \textit{Veronica prostrata}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thymeleaceae</td>
<td>\textit{Thymelaea passerina}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violaceae</td>
<td>\textit{Viola alba}, \textit{Viola elatior}, \textit{Viola persicifolia}, \textit{Viola pumila}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1

The list of 47 plant taxa from the Polish red list for which the literature data on pollination and/or breeding system was unavailable for the authors.
The available literature contains very scarce information on plant breeding systems. We were only able to find data on this aspect of plant biology for approx. 12% of the surveyed plants, 44 of them are reported to be self-compatible and 13 self-incompatible.

(numbers do not add to 100% as some plants may be pollinated and/or visited by several orders of insects, Fig. 2).

The available literature contains very scarce information on plant breeding systems. We were only able to find data on this aspect of plant biology for approx. 12% of the surveyed plants, 44 of them are reported to be self-compatible and 13 self-incompatible.
DISCUSSION

Numerous plant taxa become endangered and face extinction mainly due to habitat loss, their populations become fragmented and increasingly smaller. In some cases, this trend may be reversed by successful restorations. It is however limited by several environmental and biological factors. For zoogamous plants, one of the reasons of unsuccessful restorations may be the pollination failure that may restrain natural regeneration of endangered plant populations (Kwak and Bekker, 2006). This may be due to pollinator shortage and/or the specialized nature of plant-pollinator relationships (Wilcock and Neiland, 2002). The results of the present survey show that at least 54% of the Polish Red list plants are entomogamous or ambophilous, which means that, at least partially, in their reproduction they are dependent on insect pollinators. Confronting this result with the growing evidence that also pollination systems are under increasing threat from anthropogenic sources, including habitat fragmentation, changes in land use, modern agricultural practices, pesticides and herbicides (Allen-Wardell et al. 1998; Kearns et al. 1998; Kremsen and Ricketts; 2000, Kwak and Bekker, 2006), shows the range of the problem to be faced by conservation biologists.

Most of the entomogamous Polish red list plants are pollinated by bees and flies (Fig. 2). A situation similar to this is found e.g. in the Netherlands (Kwak and Bekker, 2006), and the same groups, with bees being the most vulnerable, are also the most threatened in Europe. As reported by Biesmeijer et al. (2006) there were statistically significant declines in bee diversity in 52% of UK’s and 67% of the Netherlands’ 100 km2 cells which were used by the authors for assessing the pollinator diversity in these two countries. Hoverflies’ populations also surveyed in this study seem to be in slightly better conditions (decline in 33% vs. increase in 25% of the British cells, and increase in 34% and decline in 17% cells of the Dutch cells). This, however, does not compensate for the loss of wild bees. Even in cases where the given plant is pollinated by one key agent, there may be a net gain in resulting seed production form the interactions of various pollinator groups (Westerkamp and Gottsberger, 2000; Klein et al. 2003; Greenleaf and Kremsen, 2006); the diversity of the plant-pollinator interactions enhances also the persistence of plant communities (Fontaine et al. 2006). Studies from Europe and other continents suggest that there is a causal relationship between the pollinator and flowering plant decline (Biesmeijer et al. 2006; Vamosi et al. 2006). The species that are most likely to go extinct first are those with the smallest populations and the most dispersed distribution.

When compared to the western Europe, the situation in Poland seems more stable due to higher diversity of agricultural landscapes still present in the country, but still there is an observed decrease in diversity and density of wild bees associated e.g. with xerothermic grassland communities (Banaszak, 1992, 1997; Banaszak et al. 2003), and a decline in bumblebee abundance is observed in many regions of the country (Kosiór, 1995; Ruszkowski and Biliński, 1995).

Apart from the importance of insect pollinators to the preservation of endangered plant taxa, the present study shows also gaps in our knowledge. First, over 10% of the Red list plants (Tab. 1) are completely unstudied in terms of their breeding system or pollination biology (or the information is not easily available), which means we may be missing important clues explaining the causes of their rarity. And second, most of the data on pollination systems available for our work (approx. 80%) is based on lists of insect visitors (sometimes as old as those of Knuth (1898-1905), visitation indices or anecdotic observations rather than detailed work on pollinator efficiency. And it is generally agreed that the number of pollinators (or the list of flower visitors) is a poor measure of flower specialization and pollinator importance (Wasel et al. 1996; Johnson and Steiner, 2000; Pellmyr, 2002). It has already been demonstrated by many authors (e.g. Herrera, 1987; Fishbein and Venable, 1996, Ivey et al. 2003; Zych, 2007) that the key pollinators may constitute only a small portion of the total floral entomofauna.

There are case studies, e.g. for Aconitum lycoctonum (Utelli et al. 1999; Utelli and Roy 2000, 2001), Drosera anglica (Murza and Davies, 2005; Murza et al. 2006), Gentiana pneumonanthe (Petridou et al. 1995, 2001), Orobanche elatior (Ollerston et al. 2007) or Salix lapponum (Totland and Sottocornola, 2001), which thoroughly explain pollination biology or breeding system of the studied plants. Unfortunately, they are in minority, the prevailing amount of data being far from complete. This means that we urgently need more studies to obtain a whole picture of the problem.
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Biologia zapylania roślin z Polskiej czerwonej listy: wstępna analiza statystyczna

S t r e s z c z e n i e

Jednym z poważniejszych problemów współczesnej ochrony przyrody jest brak informacji o systemach zapylania roślin, zwłaszcza gatunków zagrożonych wyginięciem. Niniejsza praca jest próbą zebrania i przeglądu dostępnych danych literaturnych dotyczących biologii zapylania roślin z Polskiej czerwonej listy. Wyniki analizy wskazują, iż spośród 469 taksonów roślin okrytonasiennych umieszczonych na liście, 53% to gatunki owadoplyne, zapylane głównie przez pszczoły i muchówki – owady także zagrożone w skali Europy. Przegląd literatury wskazuje także, że dane te oparte są głównie na opublikowanych listach kwiatowych, a dokładne badania biologii zapylania lub systemów reprodukcyjnych są dostępne dla mniej niż 20% badanych taksonów – dla dalszych 10% taksonów brakuje jakichkolwiek informacji w tej dziedzinie. Praca wskazuje potrzebę głębszego zbadania zależności rośliny-zapylacze w celu lepszego ochrony ich zróżnicowania w skali lokalnej i globalnej.