

PLANT COMMUNITIES OF CULTIVATED FIELDS OF THE PODLASKI PRZEŁOM BUGU MESOREGION

PART 5. ROOT CROP COMMUNITIES OF LIGHT SOILS

Zofia Rzymowska, Janina Skrzyczyńska

The Agricultural Ecology Department of the University of Podlasie in Siedlce
B. Prusa 14, 08 110 Siedlce, Poland, ekorol@ap.siedlce.pl

Received: 5.03.2007

S u m m a r y

This present paper, focused on root crop communities of light soils, is a part of the wide range characteristics of vegetal communities of the Podlaski Przełom Bugu (Podlasie Bug River Gorge) mesoregion. On the basis of 160 phytosociological relevés made according to the Braun Blanquet method, phytocenoses representing the *Panico-Setarion* alliance were distinguished. Most often, patches of the association *Echinochloo-Setarietum* were recorded in the study area. Heterogeneous habitat conditions affect its floristic diversity. Two subassociations of the phytocenosis, divided according to the species composition into 9 lower syntaxa, were identified on light soils. The following subassociations were distinguished: *Echinochloo-Setarietum setarietosum glaucae* in a typical variant and a variant with *Juncus bufonius*, both with subvariants with *Digitaria ischaemum*, as well as a typical variant of *Echinochloo-Setarietum typicum*, a variant with *Juncus bufonius* and a subvariant with *Oxalis stricta* in the typical and wet variant. Another association found in root crops on light soils of the Podlaski Przełom Bugu mesoregion is *Digitarietum ischaemi*. Its patches were observed in cultivations on light, acidic, poor soils. The characteristic feature of this community is the occurrence of numerous acidophilous species. In habitat conditions typical for the Podlaski Przełom Bugu mesoregion, *Digitarietum ischaemi* is differentiated into the typical and wet variant. Quite frequent patches of the *Setaria pumila-Setaria viridis* community were noted in intermediate habitat conditions, between those characteristic for *Digitarietum ischaemi* and *Echinochloo-Setarietum*.

Key words: cultivated fields, root crop communities, light soils,
Podlaski Przełom Bugu mesoregion

INTRODUCTION

The floristic composition of agrophytocenoses is determined by agricultural practice and natural conditions. Root crop communities are formed under the influence of high fertilisation, also including organic

fertilisation, and crop protection agents used. Their characteristic feature is a large share of nitrophilous and thermophilic species.

In connection with changes in the crop structure and a growing share of cereal crops, as well as the increasing area of fallow land in the study area, root crop communities are less and less frequently found and they occupy small areas.

The purpose of the study was to demonstrate the floristic and phytosociological diversity of communities which develop in agrophytocenoses of the Podlaski Przełom Bugu mesoregion.

This paper is a continuation of the characteristics of plant communities of agrocenoses of the Podlaski Przełom Bugu mesoregion (Skrzyczyńska and Rzymowska, 2005; Rzymowska and Skrzyczyńska, 2006a, 2006b, 2006c). The study area and methodological assumptions, including abbreviations and numbers of localities used in the tables, are presented in the paper Skrzyczyńska and Rzymowska (2005). Due to extensive documentation material taking into account the diversity of habitats of the Podlaski Przełom Bugu mesoregion, it was divided into two parts, notably, communities developing primarily on light soils and on cohesive soils. This is a conventional division; this paper presents root crop phytocenoses developing most frequently on light sandy, poorly fertile and usually acid soils. The documentation material comprised 160 phytosociological relevés.

RESULTS

Systematics of distinguished root crop communities

Class: *Stellarietea mediae* (R. Tx., Lohm. et Prsg, 1950)

Order: *Polygono-Chenopodietalia* (R. Tx. et Lohm. 1950) J. Tx. 1961

Alliance: *Panico-Setarion* Siss. 1946

Association: *Digitarietum ischaemi* R. Tx. et Prsg (1942) 1950

Subassociation: *Digitarietum ischaemi typicum*

a.typical variant

b. variant with *Gnaphalium uliginosum*

Community *Setaria pumila-Setaria viridis*

a.typical form

b. form with a share of hygrophilous species

Association: *Echinochloo-Setarietum* Krusem. et Vlieg. (1939) 1940

Subassociation: *Echinochloo-Setarietum setarietosum glaucae*

a.typical variant

– subvariant with *Digitaria ischaemum*

b. variant with *Juncus bufonius*

– subvariant with *Digitaria ischaemum*

Subassociation: *Echinochloo-Setarietum typicum*

a.typical variant

– subvariant with *Oxalis stricta*

b. variant with *Juncus bufonius*

c. variant with *Gnaphalium uliginosum*

– subvariant with *Oxalis stricta*

Characteristics of distinguished associations and communities

In root crops of the Podlaski Przełomu Bugu mecoregion, on light, sandy and acid soils, the occurrence of patches of two associations and a community from the *Panico-Setarion* alliance was found. These are *Digitarietum ischaemi* and *Echinochloo-Setarietum* and the *Setaria pumila-Setaria viridis* community.

Digitarietum ischaemi R. Tx. et Prsg (1942) 1950

Typical patches of this association developed on sandy, acid and poorly fertile soils. Such phytocenoses developed both on dry and excessively wetted soils, what formed the basis for distinguishing the typical variant and the variant with *Gnaphalium uliginosum*.

The typical variant of the association was found on alluvial soils and on brown leached soils classified as the very weak and weak rye complex. It was described based on 25 phytosociological relevés (Tab. 1). In these patches, the characteristic species of the association – *Digitaria ischaemum* – dominated. *Setaria pumila* also had a large share in them. *Setaria viridis* occurred often, but with much lower cover. Frequent components of these phytocenoses were also other acidophilous species: *Rumex acetosella*, *Equisetum arvense*, *Raphanus raphanistrum*, *Spergula arvensis* and *Fallopia convolvulus*. Their quantitative share was however much smaller compared to the abovementioned weeds.

The wet variant of *Digitarietum ischaemi* developed most frequently on soils of the weak and good rye complex, as well as the weak cereal and grazing complex. It is demonstrated by 10 phytosociological relevés (Tab. 1). These patches were characterised by larger cover with *Digitaria ischaemum* and *Setaria viridis*, as well as the presence of hygrophilous species. *Gnaphalium uliginosum* and *Juncus bufonius* dominated among them. *Agropyron repens* also occurred there more frequently than in the typical phytocenoses and with much larger cover.

The *Digitarietum ischaemi* association was composed of 58 species (40 in the typical variant and 45 in the variant with *Gnaphalium uliginosum*). In one relevé, 10 – 16 species (on the average 12) occurred in the typical patches and 11 – 27 (on the average 18) in the patches of the variant with *Gnaphalium uliginosum*.

Setaria pumila-Setaria viridis community

The occurrence of patches of the *Setaria pumila-Setaria viridis* community, characterised by a large share of distinguishing species, was noted in root crops of the study area. It is documented by 20 phytosociological relevés (Tab. 2). The variation of soil moisture conditions of the habitats on which such phytocenoses were noted divides them into the typical form and the form with a share of hygrophilous species. Patches typical for this community developed on sandy soils classified most frequently as the weak rye complex. Apart from the distinguishing species with high permanence and large cover, the following were noted in them: *Chenopodium album*, *Raphanus raphanistrum*, *Equisetum arvense* and *Fallopia convolvulus*.

The form with a share of hygrophilous species was distinguished by the occurrence of a numerous group of species, indicating excessive wetting of the habitats. *Gnaphalium uliginosum* was encountered most frequently among them. On the other hand, *Stachys palustris*, *Polygonum amphibium* and *Juncus bufonius* occurred less frequently, but with large cover. These phytocenoses were more weed infested than the typical patches. *Agropyron repens*, *Artemisia vulgaris*, *Chenopodium album*, *Viola arvensis*, *Matricaria maritima* ssp. *inodora*, *Sonchus arvensis*, *Stellaria media* and *Cirsium arvense*, among others, reached in them larger cover and often higher permanence. But the share of acidophilous species, such as *Raphanus raphanistrum*, *Spergula arvensis*, *Anthemis arvensis*, *Rumex acetosella* and other, decreased.

The community was made up of 78 species (47 composed the typical form and 70 composed the form with a share of hygrophilous species). The number of species in one relevé ranged, respectively, from 11 to 23 (on the average 16) and from 16 to 29 (on the average 23).

Table 1
Digitarietum ischaemi Tx. et Prsg. (1942) 1950.

| Subassociation | typicum | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------|--------------|-----------|------|-----|-----|------|-----|----|
| Variant | typowy | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | z <i>Gnaphalium uliginosum</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No of picture in table | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | | | | | | |
| No of picture in nature | 2045 | 2043 | 1958 | 1936 | 2182 | 2113 | 435 | 316 | 298 | 277 | 998 | 8 | 1134 | 1925 | 1841 | 2176 | 9 | 2175 | 1967 | 2012 | 2013 | 367 | 266 | 1059 | 1876 | 1874 | | | | | |
| Date; month | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 94 | 94 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 95 | 95 | 96 | 97 | 97 | | | | | | |
| Date year | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 94 | 97 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 96 | 96 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 75 | 75 | 73 | 98 | 98 | 106 | 17 | 3 | 56 | 56 | | | | | | |
| Locality | 15 | 98 | 24 | 93 | 27 | 42 | 54 | 6 | 60 | 17 | 25 | 71 | 72 | 87 | 56 | 6Bw ps:pl | 6Bw ps | 4A Pgn:gl | 91D pg:pl | 6Dx ps:pl | 4F Pg:pp:hz | 6Bw ps:pl | 6F ps | 5Bw Pg:ps:gl | 91D pg:ps | | | | | | |
| Soil unite | 7F ps:pl | 7F ps:pl | 7Bw ps:pl | 6F ps:pl | 6Bw ps:pl | 7Bw ps:pl | 6Bw ps:pl | 6Bw ps:pl | 6Bw ps:pl | 7Bw pl | 7Bw ps:pl | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | | | | | | |
| Range of cultivated plant over field in % | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | | | | | | |
| Range of weeds over field in % | 40 | 25 | 35 | 40 | 80 | 35 | 20 | 50 | 45 | 20 | 25 | 10 | 25 | 35 | 30 | 70 | 70 | 55 | 90 | 60 | 60 | 15 | 30 | 70 | 70 | | | | | | |
| Numbers of weeds over field in % | 13 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | | | | | | |
| Cultivated plant | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Solanum tuberosum</i> | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | 4.4 | 5.5 | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | | | | | | |
| I. Ch. D. <i>Digitarietum ischaemi</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | S | W | | | | | | | | | S | W | | | | |
| <i>Digitaria ischemum</i> | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | V | 1467 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | + | 1 | + | 2 | V | 1695 | | |
| <i>Setaria pumila</i> | | | | | 3 | 2 | | 1 | 2 | + | 1 | + | | 1 | 1 | III | 630 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | + | 1 | 1 | IV | 685 | | | |
| <i>Setaria viridis</i> | 1 | 1 | 1 | | + | 1 | 1 | | + | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | IV | 313 | | + | | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | III | 510 | | | | | |
| II. D. var. z <i>Gnaphalium uliginosum</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Gnaphalium uliginosum</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | + | 3 | 1 | 1 | + | + | 1 | 1 | V | 705 | |
| <i>Juncus bufonius</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | + | 1 | 2 | + | + | + | + | + | 1 | IV | 315 | | | |
| <i>Gypsophila muralis</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | + | + | | | + | + | + | + | + | IV | 70 | | | |
| <i>Spergularia rubra</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | + | | | | | | | II | 120 | | |
| <i>Stachys palustris</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | II | 30 | | |
| III. Ch. D. <i>Panico-Setario</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Polygono-Chenopodieta</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Spergula arvensis</i> | 1 | 1 | + | | | | | 1 | + | | + | + | + | 1 | + | IV | 173 | + | + | + | 1 | 1 | + | | | + | IV | 150 | | | |
| <i>Chenopodium album</i> | 1 | + | + | + | | + | + | 1 | + | + | + | + | + | | IV | 133 | + | | 1 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | IV | 120 | | | |
| <i>Raphanus raphanistrum</i> | + | + | | | + | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | + | | | | + | IV | 207 | + | | | + | 1 | 1 | + | 1 | | III | 180 | | | | |
| <i>Scleranthus annuus</i> | 1 | | + | | | | | + | + | | | | | 1 | 1 | II | 120 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | IV | 80 | | | |
| IV. Ch. <i>Stellarietea mediae</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Rumex acetosella</i> | 1 | + | 1 | + | | 1 | | + | | + | + | | | 1 | 1 | IV | 200 | + | + | + | + | 1 | 2 | | + | 1 | IV | 325 | | | |
| <i>Falllopia convolvulus</i> | | + | + | + | | + | + | 1 | | + | | | | + | + | + | IV | 93 | | | | | 1 | + | 2 | + | III | 265 | | | |
| <i>Anthemis arvensis</i> | | | + | + | | | | + | | + | + | | | + | + | III | 53 | | | | | 1 | | | + | 1 | III | 130 | | | |
| <i>Centaura cyanus</i> | + | | | | | | | + | | + | + | | | | II | 27 | | | + | + | | | | | + | + | III | 50 | | | |
| <i>Viola arvensis</i> | | | | | | | | + | + | | | | | | I | 20 | + | | | | + | + | | | | + | + | III | 50 | | |
| <i>Polygonum aviculare</i> | | | | | | | | | + | + | | | | | I | 13 | | + | + | | | | | | | + | + | III | 50 | | |
| <i>Myosotis arvensis</i> | | | | | | | | | + | | | | | | I | 7 | | | | | | | | | | | + | + | II | 40 | |
| <i>Stellaria media</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | + | II | 70 |
| V. Accompanying species | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Equisetum arvense</i> | + | 1 | | + | + | 1 | 1 | 2 | + | + | + | 1 | + | IV | 297 | + | + | | | + | + | 1 | 1 | | | | III | 140 | | | |
| <i>Agropyron repens</i> | + | | | | | | | + | | + | + | + | + | II | 40 | | | 2 | + | + | + | + | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | IV | 365 | | | |
| <i>Convolvulus arvensis</i> | | + | + | + | + | + | | + | | + | + | | | III | 47 | | + | | | | + | + | | | + | + | III | 50 | | | |
| <i>Polygonum lapathifolium</i> ssp. <i>lapathifolium</i> | + | + | + | | | | | | | + | | + | | + | II | 40 | | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | III | 60 | | | |
| <i>Polygonum persicaria</i> | | | | | | | | | | + | | | | | I | 7 | | | + | | + | + | + | + | + | + | III | 50 | | | |
| <i>Erodium cicutarium</i> | | | | | | | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | II | 40 | | | | | | | | | | | | I | 20 | | |
| <i>Cirsium arvense</i> | | | | | | | | | + | | | | | | I | 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | II | 40 | |
| <i>Erigeron acris</i> | + | | | | | | | + | | + | + | | | | II | 27 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

(Sporadic species): **II** *Mentha arvensis* 19(+); **III** *Polygonum lapathifolium* ssp. *pallidum* 7(+), 21(+); *Sonchus arvensis* 24(3), 25(1); *Echinochloa crus-galli* 11(r); *Capsella bursa-pastoris* 25(+); **IV** *Vicia villosa* 8(+), 24(+); *Galeopsis tetrahit* 12(+), 21(+); *Vicia tetrasperma* 24(+), 25(+); *Crepis tectorum* 1(+); *Comyzza canadensis* 5(3); *Arabidopsis thaliana* 19(+); *Vicia hirsuta* 24(+); **V** *Galeopsis ladanum* 14(+), 15(+), 18(+), 25(+); *Agrostis stolonifera* 1(1), 20(1), 23(1); *Artemisia vulgaris* 5(+), 6(+), 7(+); *Achillea millefolium* 10(+), 13(+), 15(+); *Leontodon autumnalis* 1(+), 7(+); *Ornithopus sativus* 12(+), 21(+); *Veronica arvensis* 24(+), 25(+); *Galeopsis bifida* 1(+); *Hypochoeris glabra* 1(+); *Malva pusilla* 5(+); *Melandrium album* 6(+); *Oenothera biennis* 7(+); *Plantago lanceolata* 9(r); *Verbascum nigrum* 19(r); *Cardaminopsis arenosa* 22(+); *Saponaria officinalis* 23(r); *Trifolium repens* 24(+); *Arenaria serpyllifolia* 24(+).

(Comments): (numbers after of species inform about numbers of picture in the table), z.n. (withered top leaves)

Table 2
Community *Setaria pumila*-*Setaria viridis*.

| Form | typowa | | | | | | | | | | z udziałem gatunków higrofilnych | | | | | | | | | | Mean number of species within the picture | |
|---|------------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|----------|---------|---------|------|----------------------------------|---------|---------|-----------|----------|---------|-----------|----------|-------|-----------|---|---------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | | |
| No of picture in table | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 271 | 279 | 275 | 461 | 479 | 1053 | 1173 | 2198 | 2172 | 1875 | | |
| No of picture in nature | 2203 | 452 | 361 | 276 | 1022 | 938 | 1885 | 1915 | 1926 | 1990 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 8 | | |
| Data: miesiąc month | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 96 | 96 | 94 | 94 | 97 | | |
| Date rok year | 94 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 96 | 96 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 62 | 52 | 67 | 76 | 75 | 56 | | | |
| Locality | 7 | 53 | 106 | 17 | 26 | 39 | 56 | 57 | 49 | 13 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Soil unite | 6Bw Pgmppl | 6Bv Pspl | 6Bw Pspl | 5F Pgpl | 6Bv Pspl | 6Bw Pgpl | 6Bw Pspl | 6F Pspl | 4A Pgpl | | 6F pspl | 6F pspl | 5F Pgpl | 4Bw Pgpls | 8Dz Pgmg | 9F Pgpl | 4A Pgngsp | 5Bw Pgpl | 9D Pg | 9Dz Pgpls | | |
| Range of cultivated plant over field in % | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | | |
| Range of weeds over field in % | 25 | 50 | 50 | 40 | 25 | 15 | 30 | 25 | 20 | 25 | 85 | 40 | 40 | 50 | 80 | 60 | 50 | 60 | 75 | 80 | | |
| Numbers of weeds over field in % | 16 | 17 | 20 | 13 | 23 | 16 | 13 | 11 | 17 | 12 | 16 | 17 | 25 | 19 | 29 | 28 | 29 | 21 | 16 | 19 | 27 | 23 |
| Cultivated plant | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Solanum tuberosum | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| I. D. <i>Setaria glauca</i>-<i>Setaria viridis</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Setaria pumila</i> | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | V | 625 | 1 | 2 | + | + | 1 | + | 3 | + | + | V 710 |
| <i>Setaria viridis</i> | 1 | 2 | 1 | + | + | + | 2 | + | + | + | V | 510 | 1 | + | + | + | + | 1 | + | + | + | V 180 |
| II. D. form with hygrophilous species | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Gnaphalium uliginosum</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Stachys palustris</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Polygonum amphibium</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Juncus bufonius</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Gypsophila muralis</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Mentha arvensis</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Plantago intermedia</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| III. Ch. D. Panico-<i>Setariion</i>, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Polygono-Chenopodietalia | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Chenopodium album</i> | 1 | + | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | + | + | + | 1 | V | 465 | + | 1 | + | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | + | V 790 |
| <i>Raphanus raphanistrum</i> | 2 | + | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | IV | 395 | 1 | + | 1 | + | | | | | | III 130 |
| <i>Spergula arvensis</i> | 1 | + | | + | + | | | | | | III | 100 | + | | | | | | | | | II 40 |
| <i>Scleranthus annuus</i> | + | | | + | + | | | | | | III | 50 | | | | | | | | | | II 70 |
| <i>Stellaria media</i> | + | | + | | + | | | | | | II | 40 | | + | + | + | 1 | | | | | II 140 |
| <i>Sonchus arvensis</i> | + | | | | | | | | | | I | 10 | | + | + | | 1 | | | | | II 255 |
| <i>Capsella bursa-pastoris</i> | + | | | | | | + | + | | | II | 30 | | | | + | + | + | | | | II 40 |
| <i>Matricaria maritima</i> ssp. <i>inodora</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | + | 1 | 10 | | | 1 | | 2 | | II 245 |
| IV. Ch. Stellarietea mediae | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Fallopia convolvulus</i> | + | + | 1 | 1 | + | + | + | + | + | | V | 170 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | + | + | + | + | 1 | V 290 |
| <i>Viola arvensis</i> | + | + | + | + | + | + | | | | | III | 60 | + | + | 1 | | + | + | + | + | + | IV 110 |
| <i>Centaurea cyanus</i> | + | + | | + | + | | | | | | III | 50 | + | | | + | + | + | + | + | + | III 60 |
| <i>Anthemis arvensis</i> | 1 | | + | | 1 | | | | | | III | 180 | | + | 1 | | | | | | | II 80 |
| <i>Myosotis arvensis</i> | | | | + | + | | + | | | | II | 30 | + | + | | | + | + | + | | | III 60 |
| <i>Polygonum aviculare</i> | | + | | | | | | | | | I | 10 | | + | | | | | + | + | + | III 50 |
| <i>Vicia tetrasperma</i> | | | | | + | | | | | | I | 10 | | | | | + | + | | | | II 30 |
| <i>Sinapis arvensis</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | + | | 1 | + | | | | | | II 70 |
| V. Accompanying species | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Equisetum arvense</i> | 1 | 1 | 2 | + | + | + | 1 | + | | | IV | 365 | 1 | 1 | + | 2 | | | | 1 | | III 335 |
| <i>Agropyron repens</i> | + | 1 | + | | + | | | | | | II | 80 | 2 | | + | + | 3 | 1 | | + | + | IV 640 |
| <i>Artemisia vulgaris</i> | + | | | | | | | | | | II | 30 | 1 | + | 1 | | | 1 | + | 2 | + | IV 355 |
| <i>Erodium cicutarium</i> | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | IV | 80 | + | | | + | | | | | | I 20 |
| <i>Convolvulus arvensis</i> | + | + | 1 | | + | + | | | | | III | 90 | | | | + | + | | + | + | 1 | III 90 |
| <i>Cirsium arvense</i> | | + | + | + | + | | | | | | II | 30 | + | 1 | | + | | + | + | 1 | III 140 | |
| <i>Rumex acetosella</i> | + | + | | | + | | | | | | III | 90 | | | | 1 | + | | | | | I 60 |
| <i>Polygonum persicaria</i> | | | | | + | | | | | | I | 10 | | + | + | + | + | | | | | III 60 |
| <i>Polygonum lapathifolium</i> ssp. <i>lapathifolium</i> | + | | | + | + | + | | | | | II | 40 | | + | | | + | 1 | | | | II 70 |
| <i>Arenaria serpyllifolia</i> | + | | | | | | 1 | + | | | II | 70 | | + | | | | | | | | I 10 |
| <i>Achillea millefolium</i> | + | | | | | | + | | | | I | 20 | | | | | | | | | | I 10 |
| <i>Plantago major</i> | | | | | | | + | | | | I | 10 | | | | + | + | | + | + | II 40 | |
| <i>Cardaminopsis arenosa</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | + | 1 | + | | | II 70 | |
| <i>Veronica arvensis</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | + | + | + | + | | II 40 | |
| <i>Erigeron acris</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | + | | | | | II 30 | |
| <i>Taraxacum officinale</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | + | | | + | | II 30 | |

(Sporadic species): II – *Spergularia rubra* 10(+), 16(+); *Potentilla anserina* 13 (+), 17 (+); *Rorippa sylvestris* 15(1), 16(1); *Sagina procumbens* 15(+); *Anthoceros punctatus* 15(+); **III –** *Anchusa arvensis* 1(+), 5(+), 7(+), 11(+); *Polygonum lapathifolium* ssp. *pallidum* 2(+), 3(+), 13(+); *Galinsoga parviflora* 7(+), 8(+); *Digitaria ischaemum* 14(+); *Fumaria officinalis* 6(+); *Lapsana communis* 8(+); *Euphorbia helioscopia* 13(+); *Lamium purpureum* 14(+); **IV –** *Galeopsis tetrahit* 3(+), 5(+); *Vicia villosa* 20(+); *Vicia angustifolia* 9(+), 14(+); *Vicia hirsuta* 9(+), 15(+); *Anagallis arvensis* 16(+), 17(+); *Apera spica-venti* 12(+); *Conyza canadensis* 19(+); **V –** *Galium aparine* 7(+), 15(+), 17(+); *Melandrium album* 8(+), 11(+), 12(+); *Avena strigosa* 3(+), 12(+); *Erysimum cheiranthoides* 7(+), 17(1); *Berteroa incana* 9(+), 12(+); *Plantago lanceolata* 15(r), 16(r); *Cichorium intybus* 1(r); *Knautia arvensis* 1(r); *Valeriana officinalis* 2(r); *Galeopsis bifida* 5(+); *Centaurea stoebe* 14(r); *Sympyrum officinale* 15(+); *Cerastium holosteoides* 16(+); *Rumex obtusifolius* 16(+); *Poa annua* 17(+); *Leontodon autumnalis* 17(+); *Trifolium arvense* 19(+).

(Comments): (numbers after of species inform about numbers of picture in the table), (withered top leaves).

Table 3
Echinnochloo-Setarietum Krus. et. Vlieg. 1939.

cd. Table 3

| <i>IV. Ch. D. Panico-Scirion,</i> | |
|---|---|
| <i>Polygono-Chenopodiata</i> | |
| <i>Chenopodium album</i> | 2 + + + + 1 2 1 1 2 1 + + + 1 V 565 |
| <i>Stellaria media</i> | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| <i>Capsella bursa-pastoris</i> | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| <i>Cnidium parviflorum</i> | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| <i>Polygonum lapathifolium</i> spp. <i>Pellitatum</i> | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| <i>Matricaria maritima</i> spp. <i>inodora</i> | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| <i>Sonchus arvensis</i> | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| <i>V. Ch. Stellarietea mediae</i> | |
| <i>Anthemis arvensis</i> | + + 1 1 + + 1 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| <i>Filago convolvulus</i> | + + 1 1 + 2 1 + + 1 + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| <i>Viola arvensis</i> | + |
| <i>Myosotis arvensis</i> | + |
| <i>Polygonum aviculare</i> | + |
| <i>Galeopsis tetrahit</i> | + |
| <i>Centaurea cyanus</i> | + r + |
| <i>VI. Accompanying species</i> | |
| <i>Equisetum arvense</i> | + + 2 + 2 1 1 1 + 1 + 2 + IV 443 |
| <i>Erodium cicutarium</i> | 1 + |
| <i>Polygonum lapathifolium</i> spp. <i>lapathifolium</i> | + + 1 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| <i>Cirsium arvense</i> | + + 1 + + + 1 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| <i>Agropyron repens</i> | 1 + + 1 + + 2 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| <i>Polygonum persicaria</i> | + |
| <i>Convolvulus arvensis</i> | + |
| <i>Artemisia vulgaris</i> | 1 + |
| <i>Achillea millefolium</i> | + |
| <i>Veronica arvensis</i> | + |
| <i>Cerstium holostoides</i> | + |

(Sporadic species): **III** – *Polygonum amphibium* 24(+); *Ranunculus repens* 21(+), 24(+); *Trifolium pratense* 26(+), 27(+); *Bidens tripartita* 27(2), 30(+); *Potentilla anserina* 21(+); *Rorippa sylvestris* 22(+); *Polygonum hydropiper* 26(+); *Phragmites australis* 27(3); **IV** – *Digitaria sanguinalis* 11(+), 17(+); *Geranium pusillum* 12(+), 17(+); *Galinsoga ciliata* 6(3); *Anchusa arvensis* 6(+); *Lamium purpureum* 22(+); *Rumex crispus* 28(+); *Digitaria ischaemum* 30(+); **V** – *Vicia tetrasperma* 1(+), 12(+), 19(+), 20(+), 22(+), 28(+); *Vicia angustifolia* 19(+), 20(+), 22(+), 28(+); *Conyza canadensis* 18(+), 19(+); *Vicia hirsuta* 20(+), 22(+); *Descurainia sophia* 7(+); *Snapiis arvensis* 17(+); *Arabidopsis thaliana* 20(+); **VI** – *Taraxacum officinale* 6(+), 8(+), 21(+), 22(+); *Arenaria serpyllifolia* 5(+), 12(1), 20(+); *Melandrium album* 3(+), 17(+); *Maha pusilla* 6(1), 10(+); *Erigeron acris* 17(+), 19(+); *Amaranthus retroflexus* 6(+); *Hemianthus glabra* 7(+); *Hypochaeris glabra* 7(+); *Amorpha rusticana* 11(+), 30(+); *Maha syriaca* 21(+); *Leontodon autumnalis* 22(+); *Plantago lanceolata* 23(n); *Cardaminopsis arenaosa* 26(+); *Galeopsis bifida* 29(+).

(Comments) (numbers after of species inform about numbers of picture in the table), (withered top leaves).

***Echinochloo-Setarietum* Krusem. et Vlieg. (1939) 1940**

Root crops of the Podlaski Przełom Bugu mesoregion were most frequently weed infested by patches of *Echinochloo-Setarietum*. Among root crop communities, this association demonstrates the greatest internal variation which results from variable trophic and moisture conditions of its habitats. 3 subassociations were distinguished in the study area: *Echinochloo-Setarietum setarietosum glaucae*, *Echinochloo-Setarietum typicum* and *Echinochloo-Setarietum fumarietosum*, as well as 12 lower syntaxonomic units. On light soils, patches of *Echinochloo-Setarietum setarietosum glaucae* and *Echinochloo-Setarietum typicum* were noted. Within these two subassociations, 9 lower syntaxa were distinguished.

The poorest habitats were occupied by phytocenoses of *Echinochloo-Setarietum setarietosum glaucae*. The typical variant of this subassociation is documented by 20 phytosociological relevés (tab. 3). Such phytocenoses developed on soils classified as the following rye complexes: weak, good and very good. Out of the species characteristic for the association, *Echinochloa crus-galli* occurred in large numbers in these patches. *Raphanus raphanistrum* was also a permanent species of these phytocenoses, but it was noted with much lower cover. Apart from Barnyardgrass, *Setaria pumila* dominated in the patches. The other distinguishing species had much smaller cover. Among them, *Setaria viridis* and *Spergula arvensis* were noted most frequently, less frequently *Rumex acetosella* and *Scleranthus annuus*. In addition, *Chenopodium album*, *Anthemis arvensis*, *Equisetum arvense* and *Fallopia convolvulus* were also encountered often and with large cover.

The variant with *Juncus bufonius* is demonstrated by 10 phytosociological relevés (Tab. 3). Such phytocenoses were noted on different soil types. They were most frequently soils formed from clay-bedded loamy sands. In these patches, *Echinochloa crus-galli* occurred with smaller intensity than in the typical patches, *Raphanus raphanistrum* was rarely noted. But they were dominated by *Setaria pumila*. Hygrophilous species, in particular *Juncus bufonius* and *Gnaphalium uliginosum*, were also noted in them frequently and with large cover. The share of other species in the formation of these communities was similar as in the typical variant; however, a more frequent occurrence of *Stellaria media* was observed.

The association was composed of 82 species (60 in the typical variant and 61 in the variant with *Juncus bufonius*). The number of species in one relevé ranged from 10 to 23 (on the average, it was 17) in the typical variant and from 17 to 28 (on the average 22) in the variant with *Juncus bufonius*.

A part of the patches of *Echinochloo-Setarietum setarietosum glaucae*, both in the typical variant and in the wet variant, was dominated by *Digitaria*

ischaemum, therefore, a subvariant with this species was distinguished in both the variants (Tab. 4). Apart from the mass occurrence of smooth crabgrass, acidophilous species distinguishing this subassociation, such as *Spergula arvensis*, *Scleranthus annuus* and *Rumex acetosella*, were noted in these patches more frequently and with larger cover. In the wet variant, apart from the hygrophilous species, *Chenopodium album* also had a large share, compared to the typical variant; *Polygonum lapathifolium* ssp. *lapathifolium* was also encountered more frequently and with larger cover.

These were much poorer phytocenoses, in terms of the species composition, compared to the above discussed. In total, 57 species were noted in them (only 34 species in the typical variant and 49 in the variant with *Juncus bufonius*). The number of species in one relevé ranged, respectively, from 10 to 18 (on the average 16) and from 11 to 29 (on the average 18).

Echinochloo-Setarietum typicum occurred commonly across the whole area of the Podlaski Przełom Bugu mesoregion. The variability of trophic and soil moisture conditions was manifested by the floristic diversity of its patches.

Typical patches developed in potato and beet crops on alluvial, pseudo-podsolic and brown leached soils classified as the following rye complexes: very good, good and weak, as well as as the good wheat complex. Phytocenoses of the typical variant are demonstrated by 15 phytosociological relevés (Tab. 5). *Echinochloa crus-galli* also occurred in large numbers in them, whereas *Raphanus raphanistrum* was found less frequently and with much smaller cover. The following nitrophilous weeds: *Chenopodium album* and *Galinoga parviflora*, also had a large share in them. *Setaria viridis*, *Equisetum arvense*, *Anthemis arvensis*, *Fallopia convolvulus* and others were also noted frequently, but with less intensity.

The variant with *Juncus bufonius* was encountered on different soil types most frequently classified as cereal and grazing complexes. It is demonstrated by 15 phytosociological relevés (Tab. 5). These patches, similarly to the typical ones, were dominated by Barnyardgrass. The physiognomy of these phytocenoses was determined to a large extent by hygrophilous species. Among them, *Juncus bufonius* occurred in large numbers, *Gnaphalium uliginosum*, *Plantago intermedia*, *Mentha arvensis* and *Ranunculus repens* also had a large share. Nitrophilous weeds, such as *Chenopodium album*, *Polygonum lapathifolium* ssp. *lapathifolium*, *Stellaria media*, *Polygonum persicaria*, were a numerous group of species in the phytocenoses of the variant with *Juncus bufonius*.

Echinochloo-Setarietum typicum was made up of 93 species (59 in the typical variant and 80 in the variant with *Juncus bufonius*). The number of species in one

Table 4
Echinochloo-Setarietum Krus et Vlieg. (1939) 1940.

| Subassociation | typowy | | | | | | | | | | <i>setarietosum glaucae</i> | | | | | | | | | | <i>z Juncus bufonius</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|-----------|----------|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------|---------|---------|---|-------------|-------|----------|---------|----------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|--------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|----|----|-----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|-----|----|--|--|
| Variant | | | | | | | | | | | <i>z Digitaria ischaemum</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Subvariant | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No of picture in table | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | Mean number of species within the picture | | | | | | | | | | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No of picture in nature | 2092 | 318 | 448 | 281 | 1957 | 2261 | 2005 | 2051 | 1917 | 1919 | 2014 | 1989 | 1985 | 1937 | 2090 | 2108 | 1056 | 1115 | 1092 | 942 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Data: miesiąc month | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Date rok year | 97 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Locality | 44 | 6 | 53 | 17 | 24 | 108 | 99 | 15 | 72 | 72 | 98 | 13 | 88 | 93 | 44 | 42 | 3 | 89 | 30 | 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Soil unite | 6Bw Pgpl | 5Bw Pgpgs | 5F plzpl | 6F ps | 6Bw ps:gl | 6Bw ps:gl | 4A pgm:gl | 6F psp | 6Bw psp | 6Bw psp | 9Dz Pgpl | 9F pgpgpspl | 8D gl | 9F psppl | 9A Pgpl | 9Dz Pgpl | 6F psp | 5A Pgpgs | 5F pgpppl | 9Dz Pgpl | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Range of cultivated plant over field in % | zn | zn | zn | 60 | zn | zn | zn | zn | zn | zn | zn | zn | zn | zn | zn | zn | zn | zn | zn | zn | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Range of weeds over field in % | 65 | 40 | 50 | 40 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 30 | 25 | 60 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 35 | 80 | 60 | 35 | 65 | 50 | 50 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Numbers of weeds over field in % | 14 | 16 | 18 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 15 | 10 | 18 | 11 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 11 | 16 | 29 | 20 | 18 | 23 | 24 | 18 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cultivated plant | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Solanum tuberosum | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | 4.4 | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | z.n. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Beta vulgaris (pastewne) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| I. Ch. <i>Echinochloo-Setarietum</i> | | | | | | | | | | | S | W | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Echinochloa crus-galli</i> | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | V | 950 | 2 | + | + | + | 1 | + | 1 | 1 | 1 | V | 465 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Raphanus raphanistrum</i> | 1 | + | 1 | 1 | | | + | + | + | | IV | 190 | + | + | | | + | + | 1 | + | + | IV | 160 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| II. D. E-S <i>setarietosum glaucae</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Setaria pumila</i> | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | + | 1 | + | V | 795 | 1 | + | + | + | 2 | 2 | 1 | + | + | V | 550 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Setaria viridis</i> | + | | 1 | | + | + | + | | 1 | 1 | IV | 190 | 1 | | | | + | 1 | 1 | + | + | III | 180 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Spergula arvensis</i> | + | + | 1 | + | 1 | + | | 1 | + | + | V | 210 | + | + | 1 | + | + | + | 1 | + | 1 | V | 220 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Rumex acetosella</i> | 1 | + | + | + | 1 | | + | + | | | III | 140 | | 1 | + | | + | + | + | + | + | IV | 120 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Scleranthus annuus</i> | + | + | + | 1 | | + | 1 | + | + | | IV | 160 | | | | | | + | 1 | + | 1 | + | III | 130 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| III. D.var. <i>z Juncus bufonius</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Juncus bufonius</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | + | 2 | 1 | + | + | + | + | + | IV | 325 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Spergularia rubra</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | I | 10 | + | 2 | + | + | + | + | + | + | IV | 235 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Gnaphalium uliginosum</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | + | + | 1 | + | + | + | + | + | IV | 150 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Gypsophila muralis</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | + | | + | + | + | + | + | + | III | 50 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Polygonum amphibium</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | II | 400 | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Mentha arvensis</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | + | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | II | 120 | | | | | | | | |
| IV. D.subvar. <i>z Digitaria ischaemum</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Digitaria ischaemum</i> | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | V | 1400 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | + | 1 | + | V | 995 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| V. Ch.D. <i>Panico-Setarietum</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Polygono-Chenopodieta | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Galinoga parviflora</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | I | 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | II | 30 | | | | | | |
| <i>Chenopodium album</i> | + | + | 1 | 1 | + | | + | | | | III | 140 | + | | | | + | + | + | + | 3 | 2 | + | IV | 610 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Stellaria media</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | II | 70 | | | | | |
| VI. Ch. <i>Stellarieta mediae</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Fallopia convolvulus</i> | + | + | 1 | 1 | | | + | + | + | + | IV | 160 | + | | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | IV | 70 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Anthemis arvensis</i> | 1 | + | | | | | + | 1 | 1 | + | II | 30 | + | + | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | II | 80 | | | | | | |
| <i>Viola arvensis</i> | | | | | | | | | | | I | 20 | + | | | + | + | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | II | 40 | | | | |
| <i>Myosotis arvensis</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | II | 30 | | |
| <i>Polygonum aviculare</i> | + | | + | | | | + | | | | II | 30 | | + | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | I | 10 | | |
| VII. Accompanying species | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Equisetum arvense</i> | 1 | + | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | + | + | + | 1 | V | 340 | | + | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | IV | 435 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Polygonum lapathifolium</i> ssp. <i>lapathifolium</i> | + | | | | | | + | | | | II | 30 | | | | | + | + | 1 | + | + | + | + | IV | 110 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Agropyron repens</i> | + | | | | | | + | | | | | | II | 40 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | I | 225 | | | |
| <i>Erodium cicutarium</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | II | 40 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | II | 30 | | | |
| <i>Polygonum persicaria</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | I | 20 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | II | 40 | | | |
| <i>Artemisia vulgaris</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | + | I | 20 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | II | 30 | | | |
| <i>Convolvulus arvensis</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | + | I | 20 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | I | 20 | | | |
| <i>Cirsium arvense</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | I | 20 | + | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | II | 40 | | | |

(Sporadic species): III *Polygonum hydropiper* 12(+), 20(1); *Stachys palustris* 14(+), 15(1); *Bidens tripartita* 16(1), 20(+); *Sagina procumbens* 15(+); *Ranunculus repens* 15(+); *Anthoceros punctatus*

Table 5
Echinochloo-Serarietum Krus. et Vlieg. (1939) 1940.

| Subassociation | Variant | typowym | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | z fucus butinosis | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|------|-------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | | |
| No of picture in table | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 1828 | 2234 | 2042 | 1165 | 1167 | 1124 | 1121 | 1152 | 1107 | 433 | 405 | 417 | 2189 | 2117 | | | | |
| No of picture in nature | 1836 | 1861 | 935 | 887 | 480 | 347 | 293 | 2215 | 2050 | 2061 | 2008 | 2040 | 1942 | 1962 | | 8 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | | | | |
| Data: miesiąc month | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 94 | 97 | | | |
| Date rok year | 97 | 97 | 96 | 96 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 94 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 66 | 92 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 94 | 97 | | | |
| Locality | 87 | 66 | 56 | 100 | 96 | 12 | 32 | 60 | 22 | 15 | 14 | 99 | 98 | 73 | | 66 | 92 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 94 | 97 | | | |
| Soil unite | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Range of cultivated plant over field in % | z.n | 80 | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | 80 | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | | | |
| Range of weeds over field in % | 40 | 75 | 60 | 60 | 80 | 60 | 85 | 35 | 35 | 60 | 50 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 55 | 30 | 80 | 55 | 85 | 80 | 85 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 70 | 85 | 60 | 80 | 65 | 70 | | | |
| Numbers of weeds over field in % | 15 | 17 | 15 | 14 | 15 | 17 | 25 | 25 | 12 | 13 | 17 | 17 | 12 | 11 | 15 | 19 | 20 | 18 | 31 | 26 | 28 | 40 | 30 | 36 | 40 | 32 | 24 | 33 | 26 | 26 | | | |
| Cultivated plant | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Solanum tuberosum | z.n | 5.5 | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | 5.5 | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | | | |
| Beta vulgaris (pastewne) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Beta vulgaris (cukrowe) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| I. Ch. <i>Echinochloo-Serarietum</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Echinochloo crus-galli</i> | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | V | 1950 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | + | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | IV | 1490 | | | |
| <i>Raphanus raphanistrum</i> | + | + | | 1 | + | 1 | 1 | 1 | | + | | 1 | III | 193 | 1 | + | + | + | 1 | + | + | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | + | | IV | 323 | | | |
| II. D. var. z <i>Graphalium dilatatum</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Juncus bufonius</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | + | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | V | 1173 | | |
| <i>Graphalium lligynum</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 7 | + | + | 1 | 1 | + | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | V | 530 | |
| <i>Plantago intermedia</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | + | | 1 | 1 | + | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | + | | V | 220 | | |
| <i>Menia arvensis</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | + | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | III | 513 | |
| <i>Ranunculus repens</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | + | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | III | 480 | |
| <i>Cypripedium mungos</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | + | | 1 | + | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | III | 153 |
| <i>Loropetalum sylvestris</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | II | 217 | |
| <i>Potentilla anserina</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | + | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | II | 163 | |
| <i>Stachys palustris</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | + | + | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | II | 87 | |
| <i>Spergularia rubra</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | + | | + | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | II | 60 | |
| <i>Sagina procumbens</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | II | 33 | |
| <i>Racca sp.</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | II | 33 | |
| <i>Myosurus minimus</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | II | 27 | |
| <i>Anthoceros punctatus</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | II | | |

cd. Table 5

Table 6
Echinochloo-Setarietum Krus. et Vlieg. (1939) 1940.

| Subassociation | Variant | typowy | | | | | | | | | | <i>z Gnaphalium uliginosum</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------|-------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--|--------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|--|--|
| | | <i>z Oxalis stricta</i> | | | | | | | | | | <i>z Gnaphalium uliginosum</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Subvariant | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No of picture in table | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | | | | | | | |
| No of picture in nature | 2140 | 2110 | 964 | 358 | 357 | 334 | 485 | 306 | 302 | 468 | | 2157 | 2156 | 2148 | 2144 | 2143 | 2130 | 1856 | 2070 | 2078 | 348 | 350 | 363 | 432 | 451 | 1009 | | | | | | | |
| Data: month | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 9 | | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | | | | | | | |
| Date year | 97 | 97 | 96 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | | 94 | 94 | 94 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 96 | | | | | | | |
| Locality | 16 | 42 | 41 | 32 | 32 | 82 | 12 | 58 | 62 | | | 5 | 5 | 5 | 16 | 16 | 107 | 56 | 43 | 81 | 32 | 32 | 106 | 54 | 53 | 26 | | | | | | | |
| Soil unite | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Range of cultivated plant over field in % | zn | zn | zn | zn | zn | zn | zn | zn | zn | 90 | | zn | zn | zn | zn | zn | 80 | zn | zn | zn | zn | zn | zn | zn | zn | zn | | | | | | | |
| Range of weeds over field in % | 60 | 50 | 70 | 70 | 80 | 50 | 80 | 90 | 85 | 15 | | 85 | 50 | 65 | 50 | 60 | 60 | 20 | 70 | 90 | 60 | 100 | 90 | 70 | 90 | 40 | 100 | | | | | | |
| Numbers of weeds over field in % | 10 | 12 | 20 | 20 | 29 | 21 | 30 | 30 | 34 | 23 | | 29 | 26 | 20 | 30 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 27 | 35 | 23 | 32 | 29 | 35 | 27 | 42 | 31 | 29 | | | | | |
| Cultivated plant | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Solanum tuberosum | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | | | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | z.n | | |
| Beta vulgaris | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Beta vulgaris | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| I. Ch. <i>Echinochloo-Setarietum</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Echinochloa crus-galli</i> | 2 | 1 | + | 3 | 1 | 1 | + | 1 | 1 | V | | 870 | 3 | 2 | 3 | + | 1 | 1 | + | + | 2 | 1 | 1 | + | + | 3 | V | 1157 | | | | | |
| <i>Raphanus raphanistrum</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| II. D. var. <i>z Gnaphalium uliginosum</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Gnaphalium uliginosum</i> | + | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Plantago intermedia</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Stachys palustris</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Mentha arvensis</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Potentilla anserina</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Mean number of species within the picture

S W

IV 120

III 407

II 473

I 190

II 109

cd. Table 6

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|-----|------|---|----|----|
| <i>Juncus bufonius</i> | | | | 1 | 1 | + | | | + | | | II | 80 |
| <i>Sagina procumbens</i> | | | | + | 1 | + | | | + | | | II | 60 |
| <i>Cyperophila munilis</i> | | | | + | + | | | | + | + | | II | 40 |
| <i>Riccia sp.</i> | | | | + | + | + | | | | | | II | 27 |
| <i>Anthoceros punctatus</i> | | | | + | + | + | | | + | | | II | 27 |
| III. D. subvar. z <i>Oxalis stricta</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Oxalis stricta</i> | 1 | + | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | V | 585 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| IV. Ch. D. <i>Panicum-Setaria</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Setaria viridis</i> | + | | | + | 1 | 1 | + | III | 130 | + | + | + | + |
| <i>Rumex acetosella</i> | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | III | 60 | + | + | + | + |
| <i>Spergula arvensis</i> | + | | | + | | | I | 20 | | | + | + | + |
| <i>Setaria pumila</i> | | | | | | | | | + | + | 1 | + | + |
| V. Ch. D. <i>Polygono-Chenopodiata</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Chenopodium album</i> | + | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | V | 1310 | + | + | 2 |
| <i>Stellaria media</i> | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | IV | 400 | + | + | 1 | 1 |
| <i>Galinsoga parviflora</i> | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | + | 2 | 2 | + | IV | 695 | + | 2 | 3 |
| <i>Sonchus arvensis</i> | + | | | + | 1 | + | + | III | 90 | + | + | 1 | + |
| <i>Polygonum lapathifolium</i> ssp. <i>pallidum</i> | | | | + | + | + | + | III | 60 | + | | + | + |
| <i>Capsella bursa-pastoris</i> | | | | + | + | + | + | III | 50 | + | + | + | + |
| <i>Sonchus asper</i> | + | | | | | | I | 10 | | + | + | + | + |
| <i>Galinsoga clivicola</i> | | | | | | | | | + | | 1 | + | + |
| <i>Veronica persica</i> | | | | | | | | | | + | + | | + |
| VI. Ch. <i>Stellarieta mediae</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Fallugia convolvulus</i> | + | + | + | 1 | 2 | | + | III | 265 | + | + | + | + |
| <i>Galeopsis tetrahit</i> | + | + | + | | | | I | II | 70 | + | + | 1 | + |
| <i>Myosotis arvensis</i> | | | | + | + | | II | 40 | 1 | + | + | + | + |
| <i>Viola arvensis</i> | + | | | + | + | 1 | + | III | 90 | + | | + | 1 |
| <i>Anthemis arvensis</i> | | | | + | + | | II | 30 | + | | + | 1 | + |
| | | | | | | | | | | | + | 1 | + |
| | | | | | | | | | | | + | 1 | + |

cd. Table 6

| | | + | + | + | + | II | 40 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | II | 33 | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|
| <i>Polygonum aviculare</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Vicia tetrasperma</i> | + | | | | | + | + | II | 30 | + | | + | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| <i>Rumex crispus</i> | | | | | | + | + | II | 30 | | | + | | | | + | 20 | | | | |
| <i>Centaura cyanus</i> | + | + | | | + | II | 30 | | | | | | | | | 1 | 7 | | | | |
| <i>Atragallis arvensis</i> | 1 | | | | | + | I | 60 | | | + | I | + | | + | II | 53 | | | | |
| VII. Accompanying species | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Polygonum lapathifolium</i> ssp. <i>lapathifolium</i> | + | 2 | + | 1 | 1 | + | I | + | V | 375 | + | + | | | + | 1 | + | 3 | + | III | 330 |
| <i>Cirsium arvense</i> | | | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | III | 500 | + | + | 1 | + | + | 2 | + | + | + | IV | 210 | |
| <i>Polygonum persicaria</i> | | + | + | + | + | + | III | 50 | + | | + | + | + | + | 1 | + | + | + | IV | 93 | |
| <i>Agropyron repens</i> | + | 2 | + | | | 2 | I | III | 420 | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | + | 2 | 1 | III | 290 | |
| <i>Convolvulus arvensis</i> | 2 | | + | + | 1 | + | + | III | 265 | + | | | 1 | + | + | 1 | + | + | III | 107 | |
| <i>Equisetum arvense</i> | | + | | 1 | 1 | 1 | II | 160 | | 3 | | + | + | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | + | III | 437 | |
| <i>Veronica arvensis</i> | | + | + | 1 | | | II | 70 | + | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | III | 53 | |
| <i>Plantago major</i> | | | + | + | + | + | II | 30 | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | III | 53 | |
| <i>Erysimum cheiranthoides</i> | | + | + | 1 | + | II | 80 | | 2 | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | III | 157 | |
| <i>Artemisia vulgaris</i> | | | | + | + | + | II | 40 | + | + | | | 1 | + | + | + | + | + | III | 87 | |
| <i>Melandrium album</i> | | | + | | + | + | + | III | 50 | + | + | | | | | | | | I | 20 | |
| <i>Armenia rusticana</i> | | 1 | | + | 1 | | II | 110 | | | | 1 | | | 1 | + | 1 | + | II | 80 | |
| <i>Erodium cicutarium</i> | | | | | | + | 1 | II | 70 | | | | | | + | + | + | + | II | 33 | |
| <i>Galium aparine</i> | | | | | | | | I | 10 | + | | 1 | | 1 | | | | II | 107 | | |
| <i>Taraxacum officinale</i> | | | | | | | + | II | 30 | | | + | + | | + | | | I | 20 | | |
| <i>Achillea millefolium</i> | | | | | | | + | II | 40 | | | | | + | + | | | I | 13 | | |

Sporadic species: **II** – *Polygonum amphibium* 8(+); *Bidens tripartita* 11(+), 18(+); *Ranunculus repens* 3(+), 16(+); *Ranunculus acris* 19(3), 21(+); *Ranunculus repens* 3(+), 16(+); *Polygonum hydropiper* 22(1), 23(+); *Sparganium rubra* 13(1); *Centunculus minimus* 14(+); *Ranunculus sardous* 16(+); **IV** – *Scleranthus annuus* 6(+), 12(+); **V** – *Matricaria maritima* ssp. *modesta* 2(+), 11(+), 12(+), 25(+); *Lamium purpureum* 5(1), 15(+), 16(+), 25(+); *Euphorbia helioscopia* 16(+), 18(1), 25(1); *Fumaria officinalis* 3(1), 25(+); *Ceratium pusillum* 5(+), 17(+); *Sonchus oleraceus* 18(+), 19(+); *Atriplex patula* 3(1); *Veronica agrestis* 17(+); *Veronica polita* 17(+); *Veronica arvensis* 3(1), 18(1), 19(+), 25(1); *Arabidopsis thaliana* 10(+), 14(+), 19(+), 24(+); *Anchusa arvensis* 9(+), 24(+); *Vicia angustifolia* 9(+), 24(+); *Melandrium noctiflorum* 10(+) (8(+)); *Vicia villosa* 18(+), 24(+); *Crepis tectorum* 22(+), 24(+); *Chaenorhinum minus* 14(+); *Sisymbrium officinale* 16(+); *Thlaspi arvense* 25(+); **VII** – *Sympithium officinale* 4(+), 7(+), 20(+), 21(+); *Arenaria serpyllifolia* 5(+), 10(+), 16(+), 21(+); *Eriogon acer* 5(+), 7(+), 14(+), 24(+); *Gallium spurium* 9(+), 11(+), 14(+), 15(+); *Cardamine hirsuta* 1(1), 5(1), 18(+); *Limnia vulgaris* 3(+), 18(+); *Saponaaria officinalis* 7(1), 9(1); *Plantago lanceolata* 7(r), 10(r); *Mahua neglecta* 9(+), 19(+); *Poa annua* 10(+), 16(+); *Silene vulgaris* 3(+); *Glechoma hederacea* 3(+); *Achillea pannica* 3(+); *Rumex confertus* 7(r); *Oenothera biennis* 10(+); *Tanacetum vulgare* 10(+); *Ceratium holosteoides* 10(+); *Stellaria graminea* 10(r); *Trifolium dubium* 10(r); *Artemisia absinthium* 13(r); *Lemniscia glabra* 21(+); *Leonodon autumnalis* 23(+); *Lycopus europaeus* 23(r); *Hypochoeris radicata* 24(+); *Trifolium campestre* 24(+); *Lythrum salicaria* 23(r); *Lysimachia vulgaris* 23(+); *Medicago lupulina* 25(+); *Nestia paniculata* 25(+).

(Comments) (numbers after of species inform about numbers of picture in the table), (withered top leaves).

relevé ranged, respectively, 11 – 25 (on the average 15) and 18 – 40 (on the average 26).

A part of patches of *Echinochloo-Setarietum typicum* was marked by the numerous occurrence of *Oxalis stricta*, and they were classified as a subvariant with this species. Such phytocenoses developed on different soil types, quite frequently these were soils formed from ordinary dusty soils. They were encountered more frequently in excessively wetted habitats. This subvariant is demonstrated by 25 phytosociological relevés, 10 for the typical variant and 15 for the wet variant with *Gnaphalium uliginosum* (Tab. 6).

The patches of the subvariant with *Oxalis stricta*, similarly to the typical variant, were dominated by *Echinochloa crus-galli*. Nitrophilous species: *Chenopodium album* (especially in the typical variant), *Stellaria media*, *Galinsoga parviflora*, *Polygonum lapathifolium* ssp. *lapathifolium*, also had a very large share in them. In the wet variant, *Gnaphalium uliginosum*, *Plantago intermedia*, *Stachys palustris*, and locally *Mentha arvensis* and *Potentilla anserine*, occurred most frequently and with the largest cover.

These phytocenoses were floristically rich; they were composed of 120 species (78 in the typical variant and 103 in the wet variant). The number of species in one relevé ranged, respectively, from 10 to 34 (on the average 23) and from 20 to 42 (on the average 29).

DISCUSSION

In root crops on light soils of the Podlaski Przełom Bugu mesoregion, two associations were distinguished: *Digitarietum ischaemi*, *Echinochloo-Setarietum* and the *Setaria pumila-Setaria viridis* community. The internal variation of the distinguished communities proves the diversity of habitat conditions.

Most frequently, the studied plantations were covered by patches of *Echinochloo-Setarietum*. The great floristic variation of the phytocenoses of this association and their frequent occurrence result from a wide ecological amplitude of the characteristic species of this association. *Echinochloo-Setarietum* is the most widespread association among root crops in Poland. It was reported, *inter alia*, from Jura Krakowska (Kraków Jurassic area) (Kornaś, 1950), from the Lubelszczyzna region Fijałkowski (1967), from Równina Piotrkowska (Piotrków Plain) (Warcholińska, 1974), from Pasmo Przedborsko-Małogoskie (Przedborsko-Małogoskie Range) (Wnuk, 1976), from Wał Trzebnicki (Trzebnica Moraine Belt) (Anioł-Kwiatkowska, 1990), from Wysoczyzna Siedlecka (Siedlce Plateau) (Skrzyczyńska, 1994). The diversity of habitats affects changes in the floristic composition of the patches of this association. Such variability is described by: Warcholińska (1974), Wnuk (1976), Anioł-Kwiatkowska (1990), Skrzyczyńska (1994) and others.

The poorest habitats in the study area were occupied by the phytocenoses of *Digitarietum ischaemi*. They occurred on poor and acid sandy soils in various moisture conditions. The composition and structure of typical phytocenoses of *Digitarietum ischaemi* in root crops of the Podlaski Przełom Bugu mesoregion do not differ from those reported from other areas of the country (Warcholińska, 1974; Siciński; 1974, Wnuk, 1976, Anioł-Kwiatkowska, 1990, Skrzyczyńska, 1994, Węgrzynek, 2005 et al.). Due to specific habitat conditions (water and nutrient deficiency, excess heat and strong acidification) on which patches of *Digitarietum ischaemi* are encountered, the wet variant of this association was rarely distinguished (Anioł-Kwiatkowska, 1990, Siciński, 2003).

In root crops, contrary to cereal crops, impoverished phytocenoses are found less frequently. It results, *inter alia*, from different ways of spreading of weeds in these crop groups, as well as from the intensity of chemical treatment. In cereal crops, speirchoric species are easily eliminated by cleaning of seed material, whereas in root crops, organic fertilisation and insufficient herbicide control on the studied plantations result in a strong development of weed infestation, especially secondary weed infestation.

The *Setaria pumila-Setaria viridis* community corresponds in its floristic composition to species-poor phytocenoses from the *Panico-Setarion* alliance described by Węgrzynek (2005). However, within the area of the Podlaski Przełom Bugu mesoregion, *Setaria pumila* and *Setaria viridis* had a markedly larger share in the patches of this community. Similar communities from other regions of Poland were reported by: Wnuk (1989), Wnuk et al. (1989).

REFERENCES

- Anioł Kwiatkowska J., 1990: Zbiorowiska segetalne Wału Trzebnickiego. Florystyczno ekologiczne studium porównawcze / Segetal communities of Wał Trzebnicki. Floristic and ecological comparative study Wyd. Uniw. Wr. Pr. Bot.: 46 230.
- Fijałkowski D., 1967: Zbiorowiska roślin synantropijnych miasta Lublin. / Communities of synantrophic plants in the city of Lublin. Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie Skłodowska, sect. C, 22(17): 195 233.
- Kornaś J., 1950: Zespoły roślinne Jury Krakowskiej. Cz. I. Zespoły pól uprawnych. / Plant associations of Jura Krakowska. Part 1. Associations of cultivated fields. Acta Soc. Bot. Pol. 20(2): 361 438.
- Rzymowska Z., Skrzyczyńska J., 2006a: Zbiorowiska roślinne pól uprawnych Podlaskiego Przełomu Bugu. Cz. II. Zbiorowiska zbożowe. / Plant communities of cultivated fields of Podlaski Przełom Bugu. Part 2. Cereal crop communities. Acta Agrobot. 59(2): 377 391.

- Rzymowska Z., Skrzyczyńska J., 2006b: Zbiorowiska roślinne pól uprawnych Podlaskiego Przełomu Bugu. Cz. III. Zbiorowiska ścierniskowe gleb piaskowych. Plant communities of cultivated fields of Podlaski Przełom Bugu. Part 3. Plant communities of stubble fields on sandy soils. Acta Agrobot. 59(2): 393–419.
- Rzymowska Z., Skrzyczyńska J., 2006c: Zbiorowiska roślinne pól uprawnych Podlaskiego Przełomu Bugu. Cz. IV. Zbiorowiska ścierniskowe gleb związkowych. / Plant communities of cultivated fields of Podlaski Przełom Bugu. Part IV. Plant communities of stubble fields on cohesive soils. Acta Agrobot. 59(2): 421–440.
- Siciński J. T., 1974: Zbiorowiska segetalne Kotliny Szczercowskiej (Widawskiej). / Segetal communities of Kotlina Szczercowska (Widawska). Acta Agrobot. 27(2): 5–94.
- Siciński J. T., 2003: Agrofitocenozy dorzecza środkowej Warty i Bzury – stan, dynamika i zagrożenia. / Agro phytocenoses of the basin of the middle Warta and Bzura rivers – status, dynamics and threats. Wyd. UŁ. Rozp.: 1–69.
- Skrzyczyńska J., 1994: Studia nad florą i zbiorowiskami segetalnymi Wysoczyzny Siedleckiej. / Studies on flora and segetal communities of Wysoczyzna Siedlecka. Wyd. WSR P w Siedlcach. Rozp. 39: 5–145.
- Skrzyczyńska J., Rzymowska Z., 2005: Zbiorowiska roślinne pól uprawnych Podlaskiego Przełomu Bugu. Cz. I. Zbiorowiska zbożowe. / Plant communities of cultivated fields of Podlaski Przełom Bugu. Part 1. Communities of cereal crops. Acta Agrobot. 58(1): 255–290.
- Warcholińska A. U., 1974: Zbiorowiska chwastów segetalnych Równiny Piotrkowskiej i jej współczesne przemiany w związku z intensyfikacją rolnictwa (Mesoregion Nizin Środkowopolskich). / Communities of segetal weeds of Równina Piotrkowska and its modern transformations in connection with agriculture intensification (the Central Poland Lowlands Mesoregion) Acta Agrobot. 27(2): 95–194.
- Węgrzynek B., 2005: Roślinność segetalna Wyżyny Śląskiej. Cz. IV. Zbiorowiska chwastów upraw okopowych ze związku *Panico-Setarion* Siss. / Segetal vegetation of Silesian Upland. Part 4. Weed communities of root crops in the *Panico-Setarion* association. 1946. Natura Silesiae Superioris, Centrum Dziedzictwa Przyrody Górnego Śląska, Katowice, 8(2004): 39–53.
- Wnuk Z., 1976: Zbiorowiska chwastów segetalnych Pasma Przedborsko Małogoskiego i przyległych terenów. Cz. I. Zbiorowiska upraw okopowych. / Communities of segetal weeds of Pasmo Przedborsko Małogoskie and adjacent areas. Part 1. Root crop communities. Acta Univ. Lodz. Zesz. Nauk. UŁ, ser. II, 14: 123–177.
- Wnuk Z., 1989: Zbiorowiska segetalne Wyżyny Częstochowskiej na tle zbiorowisk segetalnych Polski. / Segetal communities of Wyżyna Częstochowska against the background of Poland's segetal communities. Monogr. Bot. 71: 1–118.
- Wnuk Z., Dymon E., Grzebyk D., 1989: Zbiorowiska segetalne Rzeszowa. / Segetal communities of Rzeszów. Zesz. Nauk., AR w Krakowie, Roln. 28: 76–90.
- Zbiorowiska roślinne pól uprawnych Podlaskiego Przełomu Bugu**
- Cz. V. Zbiorowiska upraw okopowych gleb lekkich**
- ### Streszczenie
- Przedstawione w niniejszej pracy zbiorowiska roślin okopowych uprawianych na glebach lekkich są częścią charakterystyki zbiorowisk segetalnych Podlaskiego Przełomu Bugu. Na podstawie 160 zdjęć fitosocjologicznych wykonanych metodą Braun-Blanqueta wyróżniono fitocenozy reprezentujące związek *Panico-Setarion*. Najczęściej na badanym terenie notowano płaty *Echinochloo-Setarietum*. Zróżnicowanie florystyczne fitocenoz tego zespołu warunkują różnorodne warunki siedliskowe. Na siedliskach zaliczanych do gleb lekkich wyróżniono dwie subassocjacje tego zespołu, które skład florystyczny różnicuje na 9 niższych rangą syntaksonów. Wyróżnione zostały podzespoły *Echinochloo-Setarietum setarietosum glaucae* z wariantami typowym i z *Juncus bufonius* oraz podwariantami z *Digitaria ischaemum*, a także *Echinochloo-Setarietum typicum* wariant typowy oraz wariant z *Juncus bufonius* i podwariant z *Oxalis stricta* w wariantie typowym i wilgotnym. Zespół ten jest najbardziej rozpowszechniony i zróżnicowany florystycznie. Drugim zespołem gleb lekkich wykształcającym się w uprawach okopowych Podlaskiego Przełomu Bugu jest *Digitarietum ischaemi*. Jego płaty zachwaszczają przede wszystkim uprawy na glebach lekkich, kwaśnych i mało żywych. Cechą tych zbiorowisk jest liczne występowanie wielu gatunków acidofilnych. W warunkach siedlisk Podlaskiego Przełomu Bugu *Digitarietum ischaemi* różnicuje się na wariant typowy i wilgotny. Dość częstymi fitocenozami, zajmującymi siedliska pośrednie między *Digitarietum ischaemi* a *Echinochloo-Setarietum* są płaty zbiorowiska *Setaria pumila-Setaria viridis*.

ACTA AGROBOTANICA
Vol. 60 (1) 2007

AUTHOR INDEX

- Aneta Słuborska: Distribution and structure of internal secretory reservoirs on the vegetative organs of *Inula helelenium* L. (Asteraceae) 1
- Elżbieta Weryszko Chmielewska, Mirosława Chwil, Thomas Sawidis: Micromorphology and histochemical traits of staminal osmophores in *Asphodelus aestivus* Brot. flower 13
- Mirosława Chwil, Elżbieta Weryszko Chmielewska: Nectary structure and nectar secretion of *Echium russicum* J. F. Gmel. flowers 25
- Agata Konarska: The comparison of nectaries structure of some varieties of ornamental apple 35
- Elżbieta Weryszko Chmielewska, Mirosława Chwil: Micromorphology of the epidermis of the floral nectary of *Rhododendron japonicum* (A. Gray) J. V. Suringar ex E.H. Wilson 45
- Mirosława Chwil: Flowering pattern, the structure of nectary surface and nectar secretion in two varieties of *Ocimum basilicum* L. 55
- Beata Żuraw: Biological value and morphological traits of pollen of selected garlic species *Allium* L. 67
- Małgorzata Bożek: Pollen productivity and morphology of pollen grains in two cultivars of honeyberry (*Lonicera kamtschatica* (Sevast.) Pojark.) 73
- Joanna Nowak: Effects of cadmium and lead concentrations and arbuscular mycorrhiza on growth, flowering and heavy metal accumulation in scarlet sage (*Salvia splendens* Sello 'Torreador') 79
- Agata Konarska: Changes in structure of red pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) seedlings shoots under aluminum stress conditions 85
- Danuta Kozak, Jerzy Hetman, Małgorzata Witek: The influence of the mineral composition of the medium on *in vitro* propagation of *Kohleria amabilis* (Planch. et Linden) Fritsch shoots 95
- Anna Jarecka, Alicja Saniewska: The effect of D,L β -aminobutyric acid on the growth and development of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *tulipae* (Apt.) 101
- Alicja Saniewska, Marian Saniewski: The inhibitory effect of tropolone and hinokitiol on the mycelium growth of *Phoma narcissi* *in vitro* 107
- Adam Okorski, Barbara Majchrzak: Fungi isolated from soil before the seeding and after harvest of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) after application of bio control product EM 1TM 113
- Tadeusz Kowalski, Paulina Materniak: Disease symptoms and their frequency of occurrence in sycamores (*Acer pseudoplatanus* L.) in the Rymanów Forest Unit stands, 123
- Zofia Włodarczyk, Agnieszka Perzanowska: Decorative values of selected cultivars of climbing roses (*Rosa* L.) with regard to thermal conditions 135
- Maria Tendaj, Barbara Mysiak: Usefulness of Japanese bunching onion (*Allium fistulosum* L.) for forcing in greenhouse 143
- Bożena Denisow, Małgorzata Wrzesień: The anthropogenic refuge areas for bee flora in agricultural landscape 147
- Marian Wesołowski, Elżbieta Harasim: The effect of herbicides on *Chenopodium album* L. phenology in fodder beet, spring wheat and faba bean crops 159
- Adam Radkowski, Beata Barabasz Krasny: Botanical analysis of fallow grasslands existing in mountain conditions 165
- Janina Skrzyczyńska, Piotr Stachowicz: Flora of fallow lands of the Podlaski Przełom Bugu mesoregion 175
- Zofia Rzymowska, Janina Skrzyczyńska: Plant communities of cultivated fields of the Podlaski Przełom Bugu mesoregion, Part 5. Root crop communities of light soils 191

