

CONSERVATION OF THE GENETIC POTENTIALS OF RARE PLANTS IN THE LUBLIN DISTRICT

Maciej KWIATKOWSKI, Agnieszka DĄBROWSKA, Ryszard SAWICKI

Botanical Garden, Maria Curie-Skłodowska University, Lublin, Stawinkowska 3, 20-810 Lublin, Poland; e-mail: botanik@hektor.umcs.lublin.pl

SUMMARY

Protected plants from the Lublin district have been collected since the establishment of the Botanical Garden of Maria Curie-Skłodowska University. They grow in field plots which are in different places of the garden forming the best habitat conditions for them. At present our collection consists of 48 plant species which are under a special care because of increasing degradation of the natural environment in the Lublin district, resulting in disappearance of many plant communities. One of the important factors of biodiversity conservation in our Botanical Garden is maintenance of rare xerothermal grass species from the Izbica environs and their protection. Long term studies have shown a reduction and floristic changes of these grass communities due to herbicides and mineral fertilizers used in agriculture. The considerable part of the areas with protected and threatend plants are private, which makes protection *in situ* difficult. The transfer of the threatened plants to the Botanical Garden to protect the given species, e.g. *Primula vulgaris* Huds., often requires an agreement of land owners.

Beside conservation of the biological variety of plants, which is our main purpose, protection of rare and threatened plant species in our Botanical Garden plays a great role in education of children and youth. An extensive explanation of the negative influence of human actions on the environment to young people can determine new perspectives of nature conservation.

INTRODUCTION

Biodiversity is an important problem in the law of environment protection and concerns maintenance of the existing plant populations.

This issue is dealt with in Treaty, signed at the World Convention in Rio de Janerio in 1992, which is the most complex regulation of the international law determining the principles of biodiversity protection (Ciechanowicz-Maclin 2001). The countries, including Poland, that signed the treaty committed themselves to protect biodiversity in *in situ* and *ex situ* conditions. Protection *in situ* concerns protecting plant species in their natural habitats. Protection *ex situ* supplements *in situ* and aims at protecting biological variety outside the natural habitats. A big role in this respect is played by botanical gardens. The Lublin Botanical Garden of Maria Curie-Skłodowska University protects *ex situ* 48 rare plant species originating from the Lublin district, which are threatened by extinction. They are gathered mostly as communities of living plants growing in the ground. Also work on *in situ* protection of 11 species has been conducted for over 10 years.

The purpose of this paper is to present a list of threatened species protected *in situ* and *ex situ* by the Botanical Garden of Maria Curie-Skłodowska University in Lublin.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The Botanical Garden in Lublin has been conducting *ex situ* protection since 1964 and has collected 48 protected, rare and threatened plant species. They have come from their natural habitats in the Lublin district. Their selection for collections depended mostly on their health condition. The most representative and well developed plants with healthy seeds were selected. The material for *ex situ* protection was gathered according to the recommendations of Łukasiewicz (1985). In most cases the material was made up of seeds, more rarely plant fragments. Seeds and seedlings were sown and

planted first in experimental plots of the garden and then, when they showed a good adaptation in the new ground, they were transferred to stable places. Further *ex situ* work concerned their cultivation to provide those species with the best habitat conditions.

Their *in situ* protection in natural habitats has been conducted by our garden since 1989, using different methods dependence of the plant number of populations. In the case of large populations, e.g. *Iris aphylla* L. in Tarnogóra and Czumów 50 to 100 m² plots were established in which, beside registration of all plants, the studied species were counted. In the case of smaller populations, e.g. *Stipa joannis* Čelsk., at Tarnogóra observations were

carried out in the whole area of their occurrence three times during the season, i.e. at the beginning of vegetation, during flowering and in the fructification phase. Evaluation of the habitats consisted in performing phytosociological analysis. Phytosociological records were taken by applying 5° scale of Braun-Blanquet (Hegi 1966, Faliński 2001) based on releve's Matuszkiewicz (1982).

RESULTS

In the Botanical Garden of Maria Curie-Skłodowska University in Lublin the *ex situ* protection of 48 species from the Lublin district is conducted (Table 1).

Table 1. A list of the species under *ex situ* protection in the Botanical Garden of Maria Curie-Skłodowska University, Lublin

| Taxon name | Introduction year | Origin | Abundance | Condition |
|---|-------------------|---|-----------|-----------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| <i>Aconitum variegatum</i> L. | 1994 | Dys n. Lublin | C | 4 |
| <i>Adonis vernalis</i> L. | 1967 | Czumów n. Hrubieszów | C | 4 |
| | 1997 | Ciechanki n. Łęczna | A | 4 |
| <i>Anemone sylvestris</i> L. | 1985 | Kazimierz Dolny | E | 4 |
| | 1998 | Opoka Duża n. Annopol | E | 4 |
| | 1999 | Żmudz n. Chełm | E | 4 |
| | 1982 | Stawska Góra n. Chełm | D | 4 |
| <i>Aquilegia vulgaris</i> L. | 1975 | Kazimierz Dolny | C | 4 |
| <i>Arctostaphylos uva-ursi</i> (L.) Spreng. | 1996 | Oblasz n. Puławy | A | 3 |
| <i>Aruncus sylvestris</i> Kostel. | 1977 | Tarnogóra n. Izbica | B | 4 |
| <i>Asarum europaeum</i> L. | 1967–1976 | Zwierzyniec | E | 4 |
| | 1977 | Kazimierz Dolny | E | 4 |
| <i>Aster amellus</i> L. | 1970 | Czumów n. Hrubieszów | D | 4 |
| <i>Betula humilis</i> Schrank | 1994 | J.Uściwierz – Poj. Łęczyńsko-Włodawskie | B | 4 |
| <i>Carlina onopordifolia</i> Besser | 1960 | Stawska Góra n. Chełm | D | 4 |
| <i>Cerasus fruticosa</i> Pall. | 1967 | Czumów n. Hrubieszów | A | 4 |
| | 2003 | Tarnogóra n. Izbica | A | 1 |
| <i>Chamaecytisus albus</i> (Hacq.) Rothm. | 1967 | Czumów n. Hrubieszów | B | 4 |
| <i>Cimicifuga europaea</i> Schipcz. | 1967 | Tarnogóra n. Izbica | B | 4 |
| <i>Cirsium pannonicum</i> (L.f.) Link | 1996 | Broczówka n. Skierbieszów | B | 4 |
| <i>Clematis recta</i> L. | 1993 | Kazimierz Dolny | A | 4 |
| | 1992 | Wirkowice n. Izbica | A | 4 |
| | 1995 | Szczecyn-Kamienna Góra n. Gościeradów | A | 4 |
| <i>Convallaria majalis</i> L. | 1975 | Kazimierz Dolny | D | 4 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|-----------|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| <i>Daphne mezereum</i> L. | 1967 | Dębówka n. Lublin | B | 4 |
| <i>Dianthus carthusianorum</i> L. | 1982 | Czumów n. Hrubieszów | B | 4 |
| <i>Dianthus deltoides</i> L. | 1998 | Gołęb n. Puławy | B | 4 |
| <i>Dianthus superbus</i> L. | 1996 | Ciechanki n. Łęczna | B | 4 |
| <i>Digitalis grandiflora</i> Mill. | 1995–1996 | Kazimierz Dolny | C | 4 |
| <i>Echium russicum</i> J.F.Gmel. | 1967 | Czumów n. Hrubieszów | B | 4 |
| <i>Frangula alnus</i> Mill. | 1997 | Dys n. Lublin | A | 4 |
| <i>Galanthus nivalis</i> L. | 1965 | Zwierzyniec | E | 4 |
| | 1975–1976 | Bochotnica n. Kazimierz Dolny | E | 4 |
| <i>Gentiana cruciata</i> L. | 1975 | Tarnogóra n. Izbica | A | 4 |
| <i>Gypsophila paniculata</i> L. | 1967 | Czumów n. Hrubieszów | B | 4 |
| <i>Hedera helix</i> L. | 1973 | Zwierzyniec | E | 4 |
| | 1976 | Kazimierz Dolny | E | 2 |
| <i>Hepatica nobilis</i> Schreb. | 1971–1976 | Kazimierz Dolny | E | 4 |
| | 1973–1977 | Zwierzyniec | E | 4 |
| | 1977 | Wieprzów n. Tomaszów Lubelski | C | 4 |
| | 2002 | Polanówka | B | 4 |
| | 1997 | Janki n. Chełm | B | 4 |
| <i>Iris aphylla</i> L. | 1967 | Czumów n. Hrubieszów | D | 4 |
| | 1973 | Tarnogóra n. Izbica | E | 4 |
| | 1990 | Kazimierz Dolny | C | 4 |
| | 1994 | Sobianowice n. Lublin | B | 4 |
| | 1998 | Zawadówka n. Chełm | B | 4 |
| <i>Iris sibirica</i> L. | 1992 | Bagno Bubnów – Poleski PN | E | 4 |
| | 1998 | Gwizdów | D | 4 |
| <i>Jovibarba sobolifera</i> subsp. <i>typicum</i> S.Pawl. | 1997 | Karczmiska n. Puławy | E | 4 |
| | 1998 | Głodno n. Opole Lubelskie | E | 2 |
| | 1994 | Szczecyn-Kamienna Góra n. Gościeradów | E | 4 |
| <i>Lilium martagon</i> L. | 1976 | Bochotnica n. Kazimierz Dolny | B | 4 |
| <i>Linosyris vulgaris</i> Cass. | 1994 | Tarnogóra n. Izbica | B | 4 |
| <i>Menyanthes trifoliata</i> L. | 1998 | Stawy Gózd n. Puławy | A | 4 |
| <i>Nuphar lutea</i> (L.) Sibth. & Sm. | 2003 | Gródek n. Hrubieszów | A | 2 |
| | 2001 | Zagroda n. Chełm | B | 4 |
| <i>Nymphaea alba</i> L. | 2001 | Zagroda n. Chełm | B | 4 |
| | 2001 | Ludwin n. Łęczna | A | 4 |
| | 1997 | Ostrówek Podyski n. Łęczna | A | 4 |
| <i>Ononis spinosa</i> L. | 1976–1996 | Kazimierz Dolny | B | 4 |
| <i>Osmunda regalis</i> L. | 1998 | Ruda Jastkowska | A | 4 |
| <i>Polemonium coeruleum</i> L. | 1994 | Dys n. Lublin | B | 4 |
| <i>Polypodium vulgare</i> L. | 1998 | Brzeźce n. Janowiec | A | 1 |
| <i>Primula veris</i> L. | 1977 | Tarnogóra n. Izbica | B | 4 |
| | 1967 | Czumów n. Hrubieszów | B | 4 |
| | 1995 | Szczecyn-Kamienna Góra n. Gościeradów | B | 4 |
| <i>Primula vulgaris</i> Huds. | 1981–1991 | Dębówka n. Lublin | B | 4 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-------------------------------|------|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| <i>Ribes nigrum</i> L. | 1976 | Czemierniki n. Radzyń Podlaski | A | 4 |
| | 1997 | J. Piskory n. Puławy | B | 4 |
| <i>Salix lapponum</i> L. | 1996 | J. Biczce – Poj. Łęczynsko-Włodawskie | B | 3 |
| <i>Trollius europaeus</i> L. | 1995 | Kraśnik | B | 4 |
| <i>Veratrum nigrum</i> L. | 1966 | Łabunie n. Zamość | B | 4 |
| <i>Veronica paniculata</i> L. | 2002 | Izbica | B | 4 |
| <i>Viburnum opulus</i> L. | 1975 | Zwierzyniec | A | 4 |
| | 1985 | Kazimierz Dolny | A | 4 |

Abundance:

A – 1–5 plants; B – 6–20 plants; C – 21–50 plants; D – 51–100 plants; E – above 100 plants

Condition:

1 – weak plants not flowering (or not forming spores)

2 – good growth of plants but not flowering (or not forming spores)

3 – good growth of plants, flowering (or forming spores), but not producing seeds

4 – good growth of plants, flowering (or forming spores), and producing seeds

For over ten years 11 species are under in situ protection in the area of the Lublin district (Table 2).

It was found that the plant number of a population of each species decreased in most localities studied. During a year 5% of plants died.

The plant number of a few populations studied, i.e. *Iris aphylla* L. in Tarnogóra and Czumów, *Stipa joannis* Čelsk. in Tarnogóra and *Jovibarba sobolifera* subsp. *typicum* S.Pawł. in Szczecyn-Kamienna Góra, was on the same level for many years.

Table 2. A list of species under in situ protection in the Lublin district

| Taxon name | Year of study onset | Locality of studies | Plant number of the population |
|---|---------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| <i>Echium russicum</i> J.F.Gmel. | 1985 | Czumów n. Hrubieszów | 10 |
| <i>Gypsophila paniculata</i> L. | 2001 | Czumów n. Hrubieszów | 135 |
| <i>Iris aphylla</i> L. | 1995 | Czumów n. Hrubieszów | 1000 |
| | 1992 | Tarnogóra n. Izbica | 3000 |
| | 1989 | Kazimierz Dolny | 600 |
| | 1990 | Sobianowice n. Lublin | 1200 |
| | 1989 | Szczecyn-Kamienna Góra n. Gościeradów | 120 |
| <i>Iris sibirica</i> L. | 1998 | Gwizdów | 43 |
| <i>Jovibarba sobolifera</i> subsp. <i>typicum</i> S.Pawł. | 1995 | Szczecyn-Kamienna Góra n. Gościeradów | 1000 |
| | 1997 | Karczmińska n. Puławy | 3950 |
| | 1998 | Zaklików | 1800 |
| <i>Linosyris vulgaris</i> Cass. | 2000 | Tarnogóra n. Izbica | 1000 |
| <i>Osmunda regalis</i> L. | 1998 | Ruda Jastkowska | 40 |
| <i>Polemonium coeruleum</i> L. | 1996 | Dys n. Lublin | 500 |
| <i>Stipa joannis</i> Čelsk. | 1995 | Tarnogóra n. Izbica | 14 |
| <i>Trollius europaeus</i> L. | 1992 | Kraśnik | 11000 |
| <i>Veronica paniculata</i> L. | 2002 | Izbica | 4500 |

The main cause of plant number reduction of populations are herbicides and mineral fertilizers used in agriculture.

Observations of *Iris aphylla* L. stands in Kazimierz Dolny point to floristic changes consisting in overgrowing xerothermic grassy areas with trees and shrubs. Then photophilous species are replaced by forest ones.

A great threat for populations of *Osmunda regalis* L and *Polemonium coeruleum* L. is water table decline caused by drainage.

DISCUSSION

The plant species listed in Table 1 are those grown in our botanical garden which are under ex situ protection. These plants are registered in the *Polska czerwona księga roślin* (Każmierczakowa, Zarzycki 2001) and *Atlas roślin chronionych* (Piękoś-Mirkowa, Mirek 2003). The ex situ protection of species in living plant communities, constituting the chief protection method of biodiversity is conducted by 15 research centres (Galera at al. 2000). Special programmes have been developed for this kind of studies (Wyse Jackson, Sutherland 2000).

Increasing degradation of the natural environment in the Lublin district causes disappearance of many plant species as well as the whole plant communities. Its main cause are pests – and herbicides and mineral fertilizers used in agriculture (Sawicki at al. 2002).

To maintain the typical composition of xerothermic grassy areas, controlled cutting of trees and shrubs should be done. This is confirmed by the studies of Dąbrowska at al. (1997) carried out in Kazimierz Dolny at the stand of *Iris aphylla* L. in the years 1991–1992 and in Tarnogóra at the stand of *Stipa joannis* Čelsk. in the years 1995–2003 (Franszczak-Być at al. 2003).

REFERENCES

- Ciechanowicz-Maclin J. 2001.** Międzynarodowe prawo ochrony środowiska. Wyd. Praw. Lexisnexus, Warszawa: 62-66.
- Faliński J.B. 2001.** Przewodnik do długoterminowych badań ekologicznych. Wyd. Nauk. PWN, Warszawa.
- Franszczak-Być M., Dąbrowska K., Sawicki R., Kwiatkowski M. 2003.** Ostrnica Jana Stipa joannis w Tarnogórze koło Izbicy w woj. lubelskim. *Chrońmy Przyrodę Ojczyzną*, 4:83-86.
- Galera H., Puchalski J., Gawryś W. 2000.** Polskie kolekcje roślin chronionych i zagrożonych oraz endemitów i reliktyw. Część 2. Taksony zagrożone i relikty. *Biuletyn Ogrodów Botanicznych, Muzeów i Zbiorów*, 9, OB. – Centrum Zachowania Różnorodności Biologicznej PAN, Warszawa – Powsin:19-21.
- Hegi G. 1966.** *Illustrierte Flora von Mitteleuropa*. Carl. Hanser Verlag, München, 5 (3): 1743-1746.
- Każmierczakowa R., Zarzycki K. 2001.** *Polska czerwona księga roślin*. Inst. Bot. im. Wł. Szafera PAN, Kraków.
- Łukasiewicz A. 1985.** Rola ogrodów botanicznych i arboretów w ochronie gatunków rzadkich i ginących. *Wiadomości Bot.* 29(2), PWN, Warszawa-Kraków: 137-152.
- Matuszkiewicz W. 1982.** Przewodnik do oznaczania zbiorowisk roślinnych Polski. PWN, Warszawa.
- Piękoś-Mirkowa H., Mirek Z. 2003.** *Atlas roślin chronionych*. Multico Oficyna Wydawnicza, Warszawa.
- Sawicki R., Kwiatkowski M., Franszczak-Być M., Dąbrowska K. 2002.** Liczebność i aktualny stan populacji gipsówki wiechowatej w gminie Hrubieszów. *Chrońmy Przyrodę Ojczyzną*, 6:86-89.
- Wyse Jackson P.S., Sutherland L.A. 2000.** International Agenda for Botanic Gardens in Conservation. *Bot. Gardens Conserv. Int.*, Kew, UK: 56.